Chamber Materials Challenges for Inertial Fusion Energy

Steven J. Zinkle¹, Lance L. Snead¹ and Farrokh Najmabadi²

¹Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee

²University of California-San Diego

3rd National Research Council committee meeting of the Prospects for Inertial Confinement Energy

Albuquerque, NM

March 30-31, 2011



Outline

- Overview of inertial fusion energy chamber materials environment
- Surface and bulk radiation damage mechanisms in materials
 - Example: Radiation hardening and flow localization
- Strategies for designing resilient IFE chambers
 - Liquid walls (minimize harsh environment threats)
 - Chamber gas and/or magnetic deflection of ions
 - Radiation-resistant materials
 - Rapid changeout



The threat spectrum can be thought of as arising from three contributions: fast x-rays, unstopped ions, and re-radiated x-rays

Some debris ions are deposited in chamber gas, which re-radiates the energy in the form of soft xrays

> Some debris ions are MMM Absorbed directly in the Myall. MMM

The x-rays directly released by the target are, for Xe at the pressures contemplated for the DD target, almost all absorbed by the wall.

The wall (or armor) reacts to these insults in a manner largely determined by its thermal conductivity and stopping power.

IFE Chamber Materials may be exposed to multiple threats

1.0E+13 1.0E+13 1.0E+13 1.0E+10

- Surface blistering and exfoliation
 - Due to implanted He, H ions
- Near-surface ion damage
 - Sputtering, radiation damage, implanted gas
- Dust creation and material redeposition
 - Tritium retention and release; mirror degradation; etc.
- Cyclic thermomechanical stress

- Volumetric fusion neutron and gamma ray damage
 - Embrittlement, dimensional instability
- Nuclear heating (γ, n, ions)







Ion Kinetic Energy (keV



Summary of Threat Spectra for conventional direct drive



Helium Blistering and Exfoliation





- Growth of He bubbles beneath the surface causes blistering at $\sim 3x10^{21}/m^2$ and surface exfoliation at $\sim 10^{22}/m^2$
 - weak dependence on material type
- For IFE power plant, unprotected first wall He fluence >>> 10²²/m²

• One strategy to mitigate blistering and exfoliation is to enhance He migration to free surfaces

•High temperature operation and/or use of nanoscale FW architectures

Exfoliation- Poly-W vs. Nano-Cavity W





nano-W unimplanted



Ploly-W with $1 \ge 10^{22}$ He/m² in 1 step



s4700 10 0kV 16 1mm x500 SE(M) 1000m **nano-W** with 5e21 He/m² in 1 step

(>100 hrs)

Surface Exfoliation Results of Polycrystalline vs. Nano-porous W

- Polycrystalline-W with showed blistering $(2x10^{21} \text{ He/m}^2)$ and exfoliation $(1x10^{22} \text{ He/m}^2)$
- Nano-porous W with 5x 10²¹ He/m² did not show surface blistering or exfoliation
- Modeling suggests He retention should be dramatically reduced for size scales <50nm (UCSD)





<u>Minimum Dose for Helium Accumulation</u> <u>Is IFE Below Threshold?</u>



Retained He concentration is minimal if injected fluence prior to annealing is less than $3x10^{16}$ He/m² (for single crystal W)



FW tungsten erosion due to He cavity exfoliation



SEM Analysis Reveals Pore Formation Threshold In *Fine-Grained W* Is Near ~10¹⁸ He⁺/cm²

S. Zenobia et al. 2008



SEM Analysis Reveals Pore Formation Threshold In *Nano-Grain W* Is Near ~10¹⁸ He⁺/cm²

S. Zenobia et al. 2008



NRA* & NDP* Gives Retained Helium Fluence In Poly-Crystal W, FGW & NGW (700 – 1150 °C)

S. Zenobia et al. 2008



Implanted Fluence [He⁺/cm²]

*NRA = Nuclear Reaction Analysis *NDP = Neutron Depth Profiling

SEM Analysis Reveals Pore Formation Threshold In *Fine-Grained W* Is Near ~10¹⁸ He⁺/cm²

S. Zenobia et al. 2008



Coral Spires & Extended Porous Layers in FGW & NGW Consistent w/ Literature Findings S. Zenobia et al. 2008



IFE Solid First Wall Materials Options

- Graphite and refractory metals (e.g. tungsten)
 - Reasonably high thermal conductivity at high temperature (~100-200 W/m-K)
 - Sublimation temperature of carbon ~ 3370°C
 - Melting point of tungsten ~3410°C
- In addition, possibility of an engineered surface to provide better accommodation of high energy deposition is considered
 - tungsten coated ferritic or SiC
 - carbon brush structures
 - tungsten foam or vacuum plasma sprayed nanoporous W

IFE First Wall Ion Spectra involves numerous ion species

Fast lons

Debris lons

•Potential synergistic near-surface ion damage effects due to sputtering, implanted ions, and displacement damage need further analysis

•To date, only limited single-effects studies have been performed (e.g., He implantation)

Ion sputtering mechanisms are well known

Energy dependence of ion sputtering

Pulsed Ion Effects

RHEPP-1 delivers pulses of intense ion irradiation with selectable species

T. Renk

Above: Marx tank with pulse-forming line Right: treatment chamber

N++, N+ beam (small proton pulse)

Peak voltage = 1.7 MV N++Peak current density (total) ~145 A/cm²

Total pulse width ~ 200 ns

< 10 J/cm2 /pulse

lon range (TRIM): N+ 0.9 μm, N++ 1.2 μm

Pulsed Ion Effects - Tungsten

PowderMet W develops high relief and deep cracking T. Renk that evolves over hundreds of pulses

SEM, W 250 pulses @ 2.5 J/cm² MAP N $R_a < 0.5 \mu m$ W heated to 600°C Melt: 2.3 J/cm² Ablation: 6 J/cm²

> SEM, W 1000 pulses @ 2.5 J/cm² MAP N $R_a \sim 4 - 5 \mu m$ P - V ~ 35 μm

FIB/XTEM of 1000pulse W, showing deep cracks evidently caused by fatigue, no surface melt

Calculated temperature profiles for 100 & 250 μm thickness W coatings on ferritic steel

Variation of IR pulse conditions and coating thickness allows for control of the thermo-mechanical condition at the W/steel interface.

Fabrication Process : W/F82H ferritic steel

- Two processes for bonding low activation ferritic to tungsten are being studied: Diffusion Bonding and Plasma Spray:
- I. Diffusion-bonded tungsten foil (0.1 mm thickness)
 - Allows the best possible mechanical properties and surface integrity
 - Tungsten will remain in the un-recrystallized state
 - No porosity

- **II.** Plasma-sprayed tungsten transition coatings
 - Allows for a graded transition structure by blending tungsten and steel powders in an intermediate layer to accommodate CTE mismatch.
 - Resulting microstructure is recrystallized but small grain size
 - May be spayed in vacuum or under a cover gas (wall repair)
 - Variable porosity

Development of VPS W/steel

Time [s]

The overarching goals for fusion power systems narrow the choices and place significant demands for performance of structural materials

- Safety
- Minimization of Rad. Waste
- Economically Competitive
 - High thermal efficiency (high temperature capability)
 - Acceptable lifetime
 - Reliability

Fe-9Cr steels: builds upon 9Cr-1Mo industrial experience and materials database (9-12 Cr ODS steels are a higher temperature future option) V-4Cr-4Ti: Higher temperature capability, targeted for Li self-cooled blanket designs SiC/SiC: High risk, high performance option (early in its development path) W alloys: High performance option for PFCs (early in its development path)

Advanced nuclear energy systems impose harsh radiation damage conditions on structural materials

- 1 displacement per atom (dpa) corresponds to stable displacement from their lattice site of <u>all</u> atoms in the material during irradiation near absolute zero (no thermally-activated point defect diffusion)
 - Initial number of atoms knocked off their lattice site during fast reactor neutron irradiation is ~100 times the dpa value
 - Most of these originally displaced atoms hop onto another lattice site during "thermal spike" phase of the displacement cascade (~1 ps)

Time Dependence of Point Defect Generation in Typical MD

- Requirement for structural materials in advanced nuclear energy systems (~100 dpa exposure):
 - ~99.95% of "stable" displacement damage must recombine
 - ~99.9995% of initially dislodged atoms must recombine
- Two general strategies for radiation resistance can be envisioned:
 - Noncrystalline materials
 - Materials with a high density of nanoscale recombination centers

after S.J. Zinkle, Phys. Plasmas 12 (2005) 058101

R.E. Stoller

Multidisciplinary Fusion Materials Research has Demonstrated the Equivalency of Displacement Damage Produced by Fission and Fusion Neutrons

Similar defect clusters produced by fission and fusion neutrons as observed by TEM MD computer simulations predict comparable subcascades and defect production for fission, fusion

(0.1 - 3 MeV)

Fusion (14 MeV)

Similar hardening behavior confirms the equivalency

ational Laborator

transmutant H and He production in the fusion spectrum

27 Managed by UT-Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy

Zinkle et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 307-311 (2002) 31

Fusion materials research must rely heavily on modeling due to inaccessibility of fusion-relevant operating regime

- Extrapolation from currently available parameter space to fusion reactor regime is much larger for fusion materials than for plasma physics issues
- An intense neutron source such as IFMIF will be needed to develop and qualify fusion structural materials

Investigation of He diffusion and clustering behavior

- Three different He-Fe potentials predict radically different behavior
 - Wilson potential creates FP (SIA emission) more quickly than ORNL potential, higher He binding energy.
 - Juslin-Nordlund potential does not form He clusters or create FP above ~400°C.
- Accurate knowledge of fundamental diffusion and clustering is essential to provide parameters for mesoscale microstructural models

Radiation Damage can Produce Large Changes in Structural Materials

• Radiation hardening and embrittlement (<0.4 T_M, >0.1 dpa)

 Phase instabilities from radiation-induced precipitation (0.3-0.6 T_M, >10 dpa)

• Irradiation creep (<0.45 T_M, >10 dpa)

 Volumetric swelling from void formation (0.3-0.6 T_M, >10 dpa)

High temperature He embrittlement (>0.5 T_M, >10 dpa)

after S.J. Zinkle, Phys. Plasmas <u>12</u> (2005) 058101

Radiation hardening in V-4Cr-4Ti

High hardening and loss of uniform elongation occurs for irradiation and test temperatures $< 0.3 T_{M}$

for the U.S. Department of Energy

Swelling Resistant Alloys can be developed by Controlling the He Cavity Trapping at Precipitates

Fe-13Cr-15Ni Ternary

0.4 dpa/0.2 appm He/675C

33 Managed by UT-Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy **109 dpa/2000 appm He/675C** *These nanoscale precipitates also typically provide improved thermal creep strength*

(P,Si,Ti,C)-Modified

Mansur & Lee J. Nucl. Mat. <u>179-181</u> (1991) 105

High temperature He embrittlement in austenitic stainless steel: Effect of annealing time and applied stress at 750°C on grain boundary cavities

0 MPa

Fig. 2. Growth of helium bubbles in unstressed Fe-17 Cr-17 Ni specimens after annealing at 1023 K for (s) 2.88×10^4 s, (b) 6.48×10^4 s and (c) 21.60×10^4 s.

19.6 MPa

D.N. Braski et al. J. Nucl. Mat. <u>83</u> (1979) 265

34 Managed by UT-Batte for the U.S. Departme

Optics

Grazing Incidence Aluminum Mirror meets IFE requirements for reflectivity (>99% @ 85°) & damage threshold (5 J/cm²)

stiff, lightweight, cooled, neutron resistant substrate

Scoping studies suggest materials systems can be engineered for IFE chambers

(single-effects studies in non-fusion environments)

Laser damage threshold measured for a variety of metal mirrors (in the absence of neutron and ion damage)

Al₂O₃/SiO₂ dielectric mirrors retain good reflectivity after fission neutron irradiation

Time-dependence of Temperature near the First Wall for W-coated SiC

3 mm W armor, 154 MJ direct drive target spectra, 500 C coolant temperature

Overview of IFE Chamber concepts

Concept	Advantages	Challenges
Solid wall/vacuum	Simplest Easier laser/target issues	Numerous materials issues
Magnetic intervention/ vacuum	Smallest chamber Eliminates FW thermal load	Ion dumps
Replaceable wall/vacuum	Easier laser/target issues	Operational complexity
Solid wall/chamber gas	Smaller chamber	Laser/target issues Chamber recovery (hot gas and residual plasma)
Thin liquid wall	Minimizes FW thermal load	Liquid coverage near penetrations
Thick liquid wall	No materials issues	Chamber recovery Droplet formation Difficult to modify

Two Methods for Establishment of Thin-Liquid Walls Have Been Proposed

Aerosol Generation and Transport is the Key Issue for Thin-Liquid Wall Concepts

A renewable thin-liquid protection resolves several issues:

- It can handle a much higher heat fluxes compared to solid surfaces;
- ➢ It will eliminate damage to the armor/first wall due to high-energy ions.

A renewable thin-liquid protection, however, introduces its own critical issues:
➢ Fluid-dynamics aspects (establishment and maintenance of the film)

- ✓ "Wetted wall:" Low-speed normal injection through a porous surface
- ✓ "Forced film:" High-speed tangential injection along a solid surface
- Chamber clearing (recondensation of evaporated liquid)
 - ✓ "Source term:" both vapor and liquid (e.g., explosive boiling) are ejected
 - ✓ Super-saturated state of the chamber leads to aerosol generation
 - ✓ Target injection and laser beam propagation lead to severe constraints on the acceptable amount and size of aerosol in the chamber.

Design Windows have been Developed for Establishment and Stability of the Protective Film

"Wetted-wall" concept:

- General non-dimensional charts for film stability over a wide variety of candidate coolants and operating conditions have been developed.
- Model predictions are closely matched with experimental data.
- ➢ It will eliminate damage to the armor/first wall due to high-energy ions.

"Forced-flow" concept:

- Non-dimensional design widows for longitudinal spacing of injection/coolant/ removal slots to maintain attached protective film have been developed;
- A wetting first wall surface requires fewer injection slots than non-wetting surface – Wetting surfaces are more desirable.

Most of Ablated Material Would Be in The Form of Aerosol

- FLiBe aerosol and vapor mass history in a 6.5-m radius following a target explosion (ablated thickness of 5.5 μm)
- Most of ablated material remains in the chamber in aerosol form;
- > Only homogeneous nucleation and growth from the vapor phase.

R. Raffray et al., Fusion Sci & Technol. 46 (2004) 438

There Are Many Mechanisms of Aerosol Generation in an IFE Chamber

- Homogeneous nucleation and growth from the vapor phase
 - ✓ Supersaturated vapor
 - \checkmark Ion seeded vapor
- Phase decomposition from the liquid phase
 - \checkmark Thermally driven phase explosion
 - ✓ Pressure driven fracture
- Hydrodynamic droplet formation (may be critical in thick-liquid wall concepts)

Can we break the shackles that limit conventional structural materials to ~300°C temperature window?

Structural Material Operating Temperature Windows: 10-50 dpa

compatibility may impose further restrictions on operating window

Zinkle and Ghoniem, Fusion Engr. Des. <u>49-50 (2000)</u> 709

44 Managed by UT-Battelle

Historical development of improved high-temperature steels has exhibited slow and steady progress

45 Managed by UT-Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energ

S.J. Zinkle & J.T. Busby, Mater. Today 12 (2009) 12

The Launching of Nuclear Energy Largely Preceded the Development of Modern Materials Science

 Fusion and Gen IV fission energy systems should take maximum advantage of current and emerging materials and computational science tools

Modern materials science methods can yield dramatic improvements in structural materials such as steels

CF8C-Plus cast stainless steel: 10x improvement in thermal creep lifetime; 2 years from start of project to fabrication of components by industry

•2 1/4 Cr steel properties better than HT9 and as good or better than modified 9Cr-1Mo steel
•Two 50 ton heats procured by industry are awaiting final ASME code approval

R.L. Klueh and P.J. Maziasz, ORNL

Development of New Alumina-Forming, Creep Resistant Austenitic Stainless Steel

- Designed for 600-800°C structural use under aggressive oxidizing conditions
 -superior oxidation resistance to conventional chromia-forming alloys
- •Comparable cost to current heat-resistant austenitic stainless steels
- Y. Yamamoto, M.P. Brady et al., Science 316 (2007) 433

14YWT Nanocluster-strengthened ferritic steel shows high tensile strength and some ductility to -196°C

- At -196°C (ε = 10⁻³s⁻¹)
 - mixed mode dimple rupture-cleavage failure
 - reduction in area = 43%
 - $\sigma_{\rm f}$ = 3.0 GPa

⁴⁹ Managed by OT-Battelle **7%** for the U.S. Department of Energy

National Laboratory

Recent research suggests high-strength steels that retain high-toughness are achievable

•Generally obtained by producing high density of nanoscale precipitates and elimination of coarse particles that serve as stress concentrator points

U.S. nuclear fission industry has developed procedures to rapidly return to full power production

Similar rapid-maintenance procedures should be achievable in fusion energy plants

Conclusions

- Several plausible methods have been proposed to mitigate IFE chamber damage due to sputtering, ion and neutron damage, and cyclic stresses
 - e.g., liquid walls
 - None of these mitigation techniques have been demonstrated on an engineering scale
- Improved materials may be designed in a timely manner with a science-based approach
 - Design of nanoscale features in structural materials confers improved mechanical strength and radiation resistance
- Improved rapid change-out techniques have traditionally been implemented by knowledge-sharing among utilities
 - A concerted effort is needed to transfer relevant aspects to IFE fusion energy power plant conditions

for the U.S. Department of Energy

