The Development of Indirect Drive ICF and the Countdown to Ignition Experiments on the NIF

Maxwell Prize Address APS Division of Plasma Physics Meeting November 15, 2007



John Lindl NIF and Photon Science Directorate Chief Scientist Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

> Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344

The NIF ignition experiments will be the culmination of five decades of development which started with the invention of the laser in 1960



- Ted Maiman demonstrated the first laser in 1960
- John Nuckolls 1972 Nature paper spelled out the essential requirements for high gain laser driven ICF
- Indirect drive experiments started at Livermore in the mid-1970's
- Dramatic advances in computations, lasers, diagnostics, and target fabrication over the past 3 decades laid the groundwork for NIF and the National Ignition Campaign (NIC)
- We are designing precision experimental campaigns for hohlraum energetics, shock strengths and times, implosion velocity and ablated mass, and symmetry, which will take 100-200 shots leading up to the first ignition attempts
- Targets near 1 MJ of laser energy have a credible chance for ignition in early NIF operations
- The initial ignition experiments only scratch the surface of NIF's potential

There are two principal approaches to compression in Inertial Confinement Fusion









Radiation temperature= 300 eVImplosion velocity= $4 \times 10^7 \text{ cm/sec}$ Capsule absorbed energy = 0.15 MJCapsule yield~ 15 MJ

210 eV 3 x 10⁷ cm/sec 2.0 MJ ~ 1000 MJ

The National Ignition Campaig

Ignition is defined as the point at which α -particle deposition sustains the burn with no additional input of energy



 About 15 kJ of energy is coupled to the fuel for compression and ignition of the 200 kJ NIF sized target

•At 1 MJ of yield, 200 kJ of α-particle energy is coupled to the fuel and it is well into the ignition region - definition of ignition adopted by 1996 NRC review of NIF

In ITER with a Q=10, α -deposition is twice the external power needed to sustain the plasma



- Over 3 decades of experiments on Nova, Omega and other facilities have provided an extensive data base to develop confidence in the numerical codes
- Benchmarked numerical simulations with radiation-hydrodynamics codes provide a first principles description of x-ray target performance (Laser-plasma interactions are treated separately with codes which are now becoming predictive for NIF-relevant plasmas)
- "The Halite/Centurion experiments using nuclear explosives have demonstrated excellent performance, putting to rest fundamental questions about the basic feasibility to achieve high gain" from 1990 NRC review of ICF



The first laser was demonstrated by Theodore Maiman in Malibu, CA, in May 1960





John Nuckolls and John Emmett were central to the development of the LLNL ICF Program



Disk Amplifier Prototype from the mid 1970's

John Emmett, who came to LLNL in 1972 was the driving force behind the development of the LLNL laser program



John Nuckolls' vision of small thermonuclear explosions, laid out in his seminal 1972 Nature paper, provided the motivation for an aggressive ICF Program

Would you hire these people?





John Lindl

Mike Campbell

Laser Programs 1978 Manpower Book

Ed Moses did not come to Livermore until the 1980's but he would have fit right in during the 1970's



The first steps leading to indirect drive ignition with lasers took place in the mid 1970's

- 1970's: Radiation driven target designs provided a path forward for high gain ICF with relaxed laser beam quality and hydrodynamic instability compared to direct drive
- 1976: first laser driven indirect drive experiment in 1976 on the Cyclops laser







NIC



The 20 beam 10 kJ 1.06 μm Shiva laser was a major step forward in the scale and complexity of high energy laser systems

Shiva laser bay



Shiva target area



Experiments on the Argus and Shiva lasers provided key results on achievable hohlraum temperatures



 1979-1981: Shiva and Argus experiments show limits to radiation temperature from LPI and a path to T_R>200 eV using frequency converted light (2ω and 3ω)

Hot electron fractions approached 50% in 1 ω hohlraums on Shiva

10⁰ Cairn hohlraum scale size $(scale 1 = 500 \mu m)$ 10-1 diam \times 800 μ m long) Incident energy (kJ) Hot Electron 10⁻² 6 Fraction 10⁻³ 10^{-4} 2×10^{-3} 2 × 10⁻² 2×10^{-4} 2×10^{-1} $f_{\rm R} = 1.0 - \int^{\rm tc} I_{\rm L} dt / E_{\rm total}$ 30-50% at Tr ~160-170 eV 1% at Tr = 130 eV

Shorter laser wavelengths can dramatically reduce hot electrons for a given laser energy into a hohlraum



From 1984 to 1999, the 10 beam, 30 kJ, 0.35 μm Nova laser was the central facility for indirect drive ICF

The National Ignition Campai



With Nova, the ICF program brought together advances in laser performance, precision diagnostics, and advanced modeling tools needed to establish the requirements for Ignition. Plasma physics issues constrain the hohlraum temperature and hydrodynamic instabilities establish the minimum required temperature





Experiments on the Nova laser demonstrated key target physics results needed for ignition

- 1985-1990: Nova experiments demonstrated key target physics requirements for laser driven high gain (pulse shaping, symmetry control, Rayleigh-Taylor stabilization by radiation ablation, $T_R>200$ eV with 3ω light
- 1990: 300 eV hohlraum temperature demonstrated on Nova led to a reduced scale ignition facility from the 5-10 MJ LMF to the 1-2 MJ NIF as recommended by the 1990 NRC review of ICF
- 1990's Demonstration of precision control of laser driven hohlraums as required for ignition (Nova Technical Contract from 1990 and 1996 NRC reviews of ICF)



Advanced diagnostics have been central to measuring the phenomena critical to understanding NIF





MCP gated imagers were operated between 100 eV and 10 keV with 5-50 μ m, 30 -300 ps resolution

The measured growth of ablative hydrodynamic instabilities in ICF agrees with numerical models





Recently, we have been able to produce well characterized NIF-like plasmas on the Omega laser



Froula et al., Phys. Plasmas 13(5) (2006)

Calculations of these Omega experiments provide evidence of a significant step towards meeting the grand-challenge of predictive modeling of LPI with pf3d



D. Froula et al. to be published CPP 8552 CPP 100.0 Data NO PS 10.0 SBS(%) Data 150 *µ*m **PS** CPP+PS 8521 PS 1.0 pF3d no PS **Divol TI1-5** pF3d PS: 🔺 Thursday 0.1 Froula NO6-13 Ο 100 200 300 400 500 Beam power (GW) **Best Focus** Wednesday PS instantly reduces the contrast, suppressing the amplification from intense speckles

•The pF3D calculations took about 1 million CPU hours

The scale of ignition experiments is determined by the limits to compression





- Pressure is limited to P(Max)~100 Mbar by Laser Plasma Interaction (LPI) effects
- Given the pressure limits, hydrodynamic instabilities limit implosion velocities to

 $V_{imp} < 4 \times 10^7 \, cm/sec$

and this limits the maximum compression

 Symmetry and pulse shaping must be accurately controlled to approach the maximum compression







LLE









NIF will provide a qualitative advance in ICF capability with >50X more energy and far greater precision and flexibility than any previous high energy laser facility





NIF is a 192 beam laser organized into "clusters", "bundles" and "quads"



The NIF Project is over 94% complete and 15 of the 24 1 μ m laser bundles (120 beams) have been performance qualified





1.8 MJ NIC ignition point design, energy, power, pulse shape & beam smoothing were achieved simultaneously (single beam











The National Ignition Campaigr



The NIF point design has a graded-doped, beryllium capsule in a hohlraum driven at 285 eV



Precision target fabrication and assembly techniques being developed for the NIF meet the ignition target requirements





Gold-Uranium "Cocktail" Hohlraum meets specifications

Key Specifications:

- 7-micron-thick cocktail or depleted uranium layer
- Oxygen content less than
 5 atomic percent
- "Shelf-life" greater than 2 weeks

NIF-0907-13939 05LJA/paa





Ignition point design optimization must balance LPI effects, laser performance impacts, and capsule robustness





Ignition point design optimization must balance LPI effects, laser performance impacts, and capsule robustness





Detailed calculations of NIF ignition targets present a number of computational grand challenge problems



The Advanced Simulation Capability (ASC) computers are essential for a wide variety of 3D effects which impact NIF ignition targets



 Integrated hohlraum and capsule calculations - low spatial frequency perturbations (I=8 or less)

- Hohlraum radiation flux asymmetry
- Pointing errors
- Power Imbalance
- Capsule misplacement in the hohlraum
- Hohlraum misalignment in the chamber
- Missing beams or other off normal operation
- Holes in the hohlraum or other inherently 3D structure

Capsule only calculations - intermediate to high spatial frequency perturbations (I=10 to 1000)

- DT ice roughness
- Ablator roughness
- Ablator microstructure
- Single Beam Very high spatial frequency perturbations
 - laser wavelength and laser frequency scale features in the incident beam and in laser plasma interaction in the hohlraum

Resolving laser wavelength scale phenomena in the propagation of a laser beam in an ignition scale plasma is a grand challenge problem.





Optimized 2D symmetry calculations meet the point design requirements





Calculations with Hydra of the 300 eV point design show very little intrinsic 3D azimuthal asymmetry





The ignition point design capsule is subject to hydrodynamic instability over a wide range of spatial scales





Detailed 3D effects of capsule surface roughness are evaluated using HYDRA calculations



 3D radiation asymmetry is obtained from integrated hohlraum simulations

The National Ignition Campa

- Nominal "at spec" capsule fabrication perturbations are applied on the DT ice and the ablator
- A full sphere covering modes 1-30 or an octant covering modes 4-120 requires about 170 million zones and ~ 4 million cpu hours on 4000 processors of the 10,000 processor 100 TFLOP purple machine

Hydrodynamic instabilities at the capsule ablator-fuel interface are a particularly challenging problem



The point design capsule of copper doped Be driven at 285 eV has been specified in detail





Parameter	Be(285) "current best calc"
Absorbed energy (kJ)	203
Laser energy (kJ) (includes ~8% backscatter)	1300
Coupling efficiency	0.156
Yield (MJ)	19.9
Fuel velocity (10 ⁷ cm/sec)	3.68
Peak rhoR (g/cm²)	1.85
Adiabat (P/P _{FD} at 1000g/cc)	1.46
Fuel mass (mg)	0.238
Ablator mass (mg)	4.54
Ablator mass remaining (mg)	0.212
Fuel kinetic energy (kJ)	16.1

A CH capsule at 300eV and 1.3 MJ is the principal alternate to Be at 285 eV





_	CH(300)
Yield	17.6 MJ
Eabs	150 kJ
Implosion velocity	3.85 x 10 ⁷
Fuel mass	cm/s 0.21 mg
Ablator mass	2.3 mg

•Post-processed hohlraum simulations at 300 eV indicate LPI equivalent to or better than Be at 285eV

•Amorphous material with no crystal structure issues

•Large data base from Nova and Omega

•Less efficient ablator but at 1.3 MJ (&300eV), this target looks attractively robust. More work in progress.

•Transparency makes cryo layer easier to characterize but low thermal conductivity makes layer formation in the hohlraum more challenging

We are also evaluating a nanocrystalline diamond ablator option at 270 eV and 1.3 MJ





• Higher density: diamond absorbs energy at larger radius. Equivalent to 10 - 20% more laser energy.

 Ablator surface is very smooth.
 Can tolerate 20x the measured surface roughness.

• LPI analysis indicates 270 eV diamond hohlraum has less risk than Be hohlraum at 285eV

• Complex material properties during pulse shaping: Stays solid after 1st shock, melts with 2nd shock (Be melts with 1st)

Ho TI1-3 Thursday

Assessment of ignition targets utilizes computer calculations, coupled to planned precision target physics campaigns



- We are designing experimental campaigns for hohlraum energetics, shock strengths and times, implosion velocity and ablated mass, and symmetry, which will take 100-200 shots leading up to the first ignition attempts
- Most physics uncertainties will be normalized out with these "optimization" experiments (Residual physics uncertainties for these items are set by how accurately we can do the experiments - the point design specs include estimates for the achievable accuracy)
- Specifications on target fabrication and laser performance are set to achieve the required precision and reproducibility.
- Uncertainty in some physics issues such as DT thermal conduction and alpha particle deposition in Fermi degenerate DT will remain after these experiments

Our key question is *not* "How well can the codes predict the ignition target a-priori?", but instead "Will the uncertainties and variability that remain after our tuning programs be acceptable?" This is a key focus of our preparations for ignition experiments

The National Ignition Campaign is focused on preparing for the first ignition experiments in 2010



The 96 beam campaign will utilize the 30° and 50° beams to emulate the ignition target





The 96 beam emulators are scaled to preserve hohlraum energy density and per beam intensity



The 96-beam scaled experiments closely match the ignition plasma conditions.





"Keyhole" targets to meet the shock timing requirements are one of the optimization targets which precede ignition experiments





Accurate pulse shaping is a key to "1D" capsule performance





We are doing multivariable sensitivity studies to assess the margin and robustness of ignition target designs





We have identified 34 pulse shaping and capsule parameters that impact 1Dcapsule performance



In order to vary all parameters simultaneously, we incorporate a distribution for each

Normal distribution Top-Hat distribution Top-Hat distribution for a spec

For complex physical processes such as shock timing and levels that will likely vary normally. For fabrication specs such as capsule dimensions that can be measured and rejected.

The National Ignition Campai

We use ensembles of simulations to estimate the probability of ignition





Statistical ensembles of 2D simulations include perturbations on all capsule surfaces





1.U Z.

2D calculations provide an assessment of the impact of non-spherical effects



Results of 360 2D simulations (A statistical sample of 60 1D capsules with 6 random number seeds in 2D for each 1D point)



The 285 eV point design has a credible chance for ignition in early NIF operations....



Energy Margin = Target Energy divided by the minimum energy required for ignition



Ultimately, yields well in excess of 100 MJ may be possible on NIF





NIF-0107-13186 26JL/cld

Double shell indirect drive targets are a possible alternate for ignition





NIF can explore direct drive or fast ignition as alternate approaches to ignition







05-00-0696-1321

The NIF ignition experiments will be the culmination of five decades of development which started with the invention of the laser in 1960



- Dramatic advances in computations, lasers, diagnostics, and target fabrication over the past 3 decades have laid the groundwork for NIF and the National Ignition Campaign (NIC)
- Experiments for hohlraum energetics, shock strengths and times, implosion velocity and ablated mass, and symmetry, will normalize out most remaining physics uncertainties
- Targets near 1 MJ of laser energy have a credible chance for ignition in early NIF operationswhen the required precision of target experiments, laser performance, and target fabrication is achieved

Ignition is a grand challenge undertaking. It is likely to take a few years to achieve the required level of precision and understanding of the physics and technology needed for success.

• The initial ignition experiments only scratch the surface of NIF's potential

