



H-mode Threshold and Confinement Issues for a Next Step Burning Plasma Experiment

presented by

J. A. Snipes

MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Center Cambridge, MA USA





Global H-mode Threshold Analysis

The global regressions to the H-mode threshold power based on data from 10 different tokamaks are:

$$P_{I} = 2.84 \text{ M}^{-1} \overline{n}_{e}^{0.58} B_{T}^{0.82} R^{1.00} a^{0.81} \text{ RMSE} = 26.8\%$$

or

$$P_{\rm L} = 0.108 \text{ M}^{-1} \overline{n}_{\rm e}^{0.49} B_{\rm T}^{0.85} \text{ S}^{0.84}$$
 RMSE = 27.8%

where $P_L = P_{in} - dW/dt$ is the input power minus the change in the plasma stored energy in MW, M is the atomic mass of hydrogen isotopes, π_e is the line averaged density in units of 10^{20} m⁻³, B_T is the toroidal field on axis in T, R and a are the major and minor radii in m, and S is the plasma surface area in m². These regressions gave equal weight to each point. The inverse isotope dependence of the threshold was found by comparing H, D, and T discharges in JET.



- Log-linear regression fit to L-H threshold data from all 10 tokamaks satisfying low threshold criteria (SELDB2) with line averaged density, toroidal field, and surface area in units of 10²⁰ m⁻³, T, m²
- Equal weighting between points was used since equal weighting between tokamaks had somewhat higher RMSE
- Only D plasmas used though JET data show a 1/M dependence for hydrogen isotopes (H, D, T)

Hysteresis in the H-mode Threshold with Ramping P_{ICRF} on Alcator C-Mod



- Enters H-mode at $P_L/P_{th} = 1$ but remains in H-mode down to $P_L/P_{th} = 0.5$ as the density increases and P_{ICRF} decreases
- Particle confinement remains high down to $P_L/P_{th} = 0.5$ as the energy confinement returns to L-mode
- Such hysteresis is not observed on JFT-2M or JT-60U





| | FIRE | IGNITOR | ITER-FEAT |
|--|------------|---------|-----------|
| R (m) | 2.0 | 1.32 | 6.2 |
| a (m) | 0.525 | 0.47 | 2.0 |
| $\overline{n}_{e} (10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3})$ | 5.2 (6.2) | 6.2 | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{T}\right)$ | 10 (12) | 13 | 5.3 |
| S (m ²) | 60 | 34 | 678 |
| q 95 | 3 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| δ | 0.4 | 0.43 | 0.33 |
| $n_{e90} (0.8 \ \overline{n}_{e})$ | 4.2 (5) | 5.0 | 0.8 |
| P _{aux} (MW) | 30 | 24 | 73 |
| P _{th} (nBRa)(MW |) 23 (30) | 19 | 49 |
| P _{th} (nBS)(MW) | 21 (27) | 18 | 43 |
| T _{e90th} (eV) | 995 (1161) | 800 | 1570 |













• Confinement often exceeds ITER98(y,2) scaling in H-mode























Conclusions

- Latest H-mode threshold scalings based on 10 tokamaks reduce the required threshold power compared to ITER FDR scalings
- Although there are × 2 uncertainties in the H-mode threshold, the present scalings indicate that FIRE, IGNITOR, and ITER-FEAT should be able to reach the H-mode at full parameters in DT
- A factor of ~ 2 hysteresis in the H-mode threshold allows the plasma to remain in H-mode with increasing density and/or toroidal field
- Energy confinement in C-Mod fits well the ITER89P scaling in L-mode and 2 × ITER89P in H-mode and often exceeds the ITER98(y,2) scaling
- Substantial plasma rotation in both Ohmic and ICRF heated plasmas increases with stored energy may help to stabilize resistive wall modes
- Z_{eff} generally decreases with increasing density
- Controlled density profile peaking in H-mode has been achieved with 2 frequency ICRF under some conditions