



Craig L. Olson Sandia National Laboratories Albuquerque, NM 87185 FPA 25th Anniversary Meeting and Symposium Washington, DC December 13, 2004



Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.



The Z-Pinch IFE Team

C. Olson 1), G. Rochau 1), M. K. Matzen 1), S. Slutz 1), C. Morrow 1), R. Olson 1), M. Cuneo 1), D. Hanson 1),
G. Bennett 1), T. Sanford 1), J. Bailey 1), W. Stygar 1), R. Vesey 1), T. Mehlhorn 1), K. Struve 1), M.
Mazarakis 1), M. Savage 1), T. Pointon 1), M. Kiefer 1), S. Rosenthal 1), K. Cochrane 1), L. Schneider 1), S.
Glover 1), K. Reed 1), D. Schroen 1), C. Farnum 1), M. Modesto 1), D. Oscar 1), L. Chhabildas 1), J. Boyes 1),
V. Vigil 1), R. Keith 1), M. Turgeon 1), B. Cipiti 1), E. Lindgren 1), V. Dandini 1), H. Tran 1), D. Smith 1), D.
McDaniel 1), J. Quintenz 1), J. P. VanDevender 1), W. Gauster 1), L. Shephard 1), M. Walck 1), T. Renk 1), T.
Tanaka 1), M. Ulrickson 1), W. Meier 2), J. Latkowski 2), R. Moir 2), R. Schmitt 2), S. Reyes 2), R. Abbott 2), R.
Peterson 3), G. Pollock 3), P. Ottinger 4), J. Schumer 4), P. Peterson 5), D. Kammer 6), G. Kulcinski 6), L. ElGuebaly 6), G. Moses 6), I. Sviatoslavsky 6), M. Sawan 6), M. Anderson 6), R. Bonazza 6), J. Oakley 6), P.
Meekunasombat 6), J. De Groot 7), N. Jensen 7), M. Abdou 8), A. Ying 8), P. Calderoni 8), N. Morley 8), S.
Abdel-Khalik 9), C. Dillon 9), C. Lascar 9), D. Sadowski 9), R. Curry 10), K. McDonald 10), M. Barkey 11), W.
Szaroletta 12), R. Gallix 13), N. Alexander 13), W. Rickman 13), C. Charman 13), H. Shatoff 13), D. Welch 14),
D. Rose 14), P. Panchuk 15), D. Louie 16), S. Dean 17), A. Kim 18), S. Nedoseev 19), E. Grabovsky 19), A.

- 1) Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, USA
- 2) Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, USA
- 3) Los Alamos National Laboratories, Los Alamos, NM, USA
- 4) Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC, USA
- 5) University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA
- 6) University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA
- 7) University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA
- 8) University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- 9) Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, USA
- 10) University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO, USA
- 11) University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, USA
- 12) University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA
- 13) General Atomics, San Diego, CA, USA
- 14) Mission Research Corporation, Albuquerque, NM, USA
- 15) EG&G, Albuquerque, NM, USA
- 16) Omicron, Albuquerque, NM, USA
- 17) Fusion Power Associates, Gaithersburg, MD, USA
- 18) Institute of High Current Electronics, Tomsk, Russia
- 19) Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia

The *long-term* goal of Z-Pinch IFE is to produce an economically attractive power plant using high-yield z-pinch-driven targets (~3 GJ) at low rep-rate per chamber (~0.1 Hz)



Z-Pinch IFE DEMO (ZP-3, the first study) used 12 chambers, each with 3 GJ at 0.1 Hz, to produce 1000 MWe

The *near-term* goal of Z-Pinch IFE is to address the science issues of repetitive pulsed power drivers, recyclable transmission lines, high-yield targets, and thick-liquid wall chamber power plants



Z-Pinch is the newest of the three major drivers for IFE

1999 Snowmass Fusion Summer Study, IAEA CRP on IFE Power Plants, 2002 Snowmass Fusion Summer Study, FESAC 35 -year plan Panel Report (2003), FESAC IFE Pane 1 Report (2003)



Thick liquid walls essentially alleviate the "first wall" problem, and can lead to a faster development path



What has already been accomplished that is relevant to Z-Pinch IFE

<u>x-rays:</u>	1.8 MJ of x-rays, up to 230	TW, on Z	(demonstrated)	available now
----------------	-----------------------------	----------	----------------	---------------

low cost: ~\$30/J for ZR (demonstrated cost)

high efficiency: wall plug to x-rays: ~15% on Z (demonstrated) can be optimized to: ~25% or more

capsule compression experiments on Z:double-pinch hohlraum¹ (~70 eV): $Cr \approx 14-20$ (demonstrated)symmetry ~3% (demonstrated)dynamic hohlraum² (~220 eV):~ 24 kJ x-rays absorbed, $Cr \approx 10$,up to $8x10^{10}$ DD neutrons (demonstrated)hemisphere compression for fast ignition³: $Cr \approx 3$ (demonstrated)(¹Cuneo, et al.; ²Bailey, Chandler, Vesey, et al.; ³Slutz, et al.)

repetitive pulsed power:

RHEPP magnetic switching technology:
2.5 kJ @ 120 Hz (300 kW ave. pwr. demonstrated)
LTD (linear transformer driver) technology:
being developed (compact, direct, simple)







•Eliminates problems of final optic, pointing and tracking N beams, and high-speed target injection

•Requires development of RTL



Z-Pinch IFE Power Plant has a Matrix of Possibilities

Z-Pinch Driver: magnetic switching linear transformer driver Marx generator/ water line technology (RHEPP technology) (LTD technology) **RTL (Recyclable Transmission Line):** immiscible material frozen coolant (e.g., Flibe/ electrical coating) (e.g., carbon steel) **Target:** dynamic hohlraum double-pinch fast ignition **Chamber:** thick-liquid wall wetted-wall solid/voids dry-wall (e.g., Flibe foam)





- 1. How feasible is the RTL concept?
- 2. What repetitive pulsed power drive technology could be used for z-pinch IFE?
- 3. Can the shock from the high-yield target (~3 GJ) be effectively mitigated to protect the chamber structural wall?
- Can the full RTL cycle (fire RTL/z-pinch, remove RTL remnant, insert new RTL/z-pinch) be demonstrated on a small scale?
 Z-PoP (Proof-of-Principle) is 1 MA, 1 MV, 100 ns, 0.1 Hz
- 5. What is the optimum high-yield target for 3 GJ?
- 6. What is the optimum power plant scenario for z-pinch IFE?

•Z-Pinch IFE Workshop held at SNL on August 10-11, 2004: 64 Participants - Outstanding initial results in all areas
•TOFE in Madison, WI on September 14-16, 2004: 14 talks/posters on Z-pinch IFE

Selected initial results for each of the 6 research areas follow:



Recyclable Transmission Line (RTL) status/issues

small acceleration - not an issue

RTL experiments at 10 MA on Saturn

RTL experiments at 10 MA on Saturn

RTL experiments at 10 MA on Saturn

ANSYS simulations, buckling tests

commercial sliding seal system

experiments/simulations in progress

~\$3 budget, current estimate ~\$3.95

circuit code modeling in progress

comparison with coal plant

1-1.5 day cool down time

under study

under study

- RTL movement
- RTL electrical turn-on
- RTL low-mass limit
- **RTL electrical conductivity**

1. RTLs

- → RTL structural properties RTL mass handling
 - RTL shrapnel formation
 - RTL vacuum connections
 - RTL electrical connections
- → RTL activation

. . .

- RTL shock disruption to fluid walls RTL manufacturing/ cost
- → RTL inductance, configuration
- RTL power flow limits ALEGRA, LSP simulations Effects of post-shot EMP, plasma, droplets, debris up the RTL – under study Shielding of sensitive accelerator/power flow feed parts – under study





Surface heating, melting, ablation, plasma formation Electron flow, magnetic insulation Conductivity changes Magnetic field diffusion changes Low mass RTL material moves more easily Possible ion flow

these issues become most critical right near the target

I	20 MA	60 MA	90 MA
R _{array} (z-pinch)	? 2 cm	? 2 cm	? 5 cm
I / (2 ? R _{array})	? 1.6 MA/cm	? 4.8 MA/cm	? 2.9 MA/cm
MITL	Works on Z	?	?
RTL	?	?	?

Initial ALEGRA and LSP simulations suggest all should work at these linear current densities, which are << 20 MA/cm

SNL, MRC, NRL, Kurchatov





RTL Structural Testing is Starting





RTL activation

U. Wisconsin Carbon steel RTL (preferred) recycle remotely in ~ 1.5 day (L. ElGuebaly) after 35 years, material can be released for reuse (clearance index <1) RTL dose peaks at 160 Sv/hr, and drops to 1 Sv/hr in one hour advanced remote handling can have up to 3000 Sv/hr (so should have large safety margin) LLNL Iron, or frozen Flibe (W. Meier et al.) analyzed each element in periodic chart considered 1 day recycle with WDR < 1 contact dose rate in range of 10-100 Gy/hr for iron

acceptable lifetime dose to machinery for < 114 Gy/hr

(so should have some safety margin)





A 60 MA Z-pinch Circuit Model for Sensitivity Analysis (based on Marx/water line technology)

- A reasonable circuit model for IFE parameters may be scaled up from ZR Marx generator and water line circuit models, which are benchmarked against the Z machine performance.
- Model results for a 10 nH RTL and 1 + 7.6 nH (L + Δ L) load
- Example of a 10 nH conical RTL: upper radius 1 m, height 5 m, gap 5 mm
- Except for the vacuum insulator stack at near 8 MV, the pulsed power component voltages can be kept to between 5 and 6 MV.
- As the RTL inductance ranges from 10 to 30 nH, the load current reduces by nearly 40%, and the vacuum insulator stack voltage increases by about 11%.
- Over the same range the price we pay for additional inductance averages to about 1.2 MA / nH and 43.5 kV / nH.
- Up to some limit the pulsed power source can be modified to provide the additional current penalty, if necessary.
- Alternative pulsed power driver technologies may have a different sensitivity to the RTL inductance.







Linear Transformer Driver (LTD) technology is compact and easily rep-rateable

•LTD uses parallel-charged capacitors in a cylindrical geometry, with close multiple triggered switches, to directly drive inductive gaps for an inductive voltage adder driver (Hermes III is a 20 MV inductive voltage adder accelerator at SNL)

•LTD requires no oil tanks or water tanks

•LTD study (as shown) would produce 10 MA in about 1/4 the volume of Saturn

•LTD pioneered at Institute of High Current Electronics in Tomsk, Russia



Modular

High Efficiency (~ 90% for driver)

Low Cost (estimates are ~1/2 that for Marx/water line technology)

Easily made repetitive for 0.1 Hz



One 1-MA LTD cavity built - performs as expected during first 100 shots (two more cavities ordered – need ten for Z-PoP)

2. Repetitive driver





1-MA, 100kV, 70ns LTD cavity (top flange removed)

80 Maxwell 31165 caps, 40 switches, ±100 kV

0.1 Ohm load 0.1TW

SNL, Tomsk



Switch Options for LTD are being assessed

2. Repetitive driver

- Magnetic switch
 - Requires pulse charging, and core reset
 - May require multiple stages
- Photo-triggered semiconductor switches
 - May have current density/voltage problems
 - Requires laser development
- Electrically-triggered gas switches
 - Gas blown designs may work
 - ATA switch was 20 kA, 1 to 1 kHz, 2 x 10⁶ shots
 - Electrode wear must be compensated
 - Techniques for reducing current density will help
- High-pressure fluid switches
 - Bubble formation/water damage minimized with high pressures
 - Will likely require purging/fluid flow
- Laser-triggered water switches
 - Preliminary work at SNL
 - Water-switching work at UNM and Old Dominion Univ.

SNL, U. Missouri-Columbia

Switch requirements:

- ~ 25 kA ~ 200 kV 0.1 Hz
- 50-100 ns risetime
- low cost
- ~ 3x10⁶ shots/year



3. Shock mitigation

Shock mitigation experiments in progress

Shock tube + water layers

Explosives with water curtain

Foamed liquid sheets



Shock tube facility at the University of Wisconsin





Vacuum Hydraulics Experiment (VHEX) at UCB **Georgia-Tech**

Shock mitigation code calculations in progress

3. Shock mitigation





Liquid walls Foamed Flibe Liquid pool Bubbles

ALEGRA simulation of shocked metal foam sheet (SNL)

Flibe jet geometry for shock mitigation (LLNL) Dyna2D simulations (GA)





Robotic automation is very close to that needed for Z-Pinch IFE

- Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) robotics:
 - Improvements in typical specs:
 - Payloads up to 60 kg
 - Placement accuracy to 0.04 mm
 - Workspace: ~1.5_1.5_1 m
 - Velocity: 1.5m in < 2 s
 - Multiple vendor options







Dynamic hohlraum and double-ended hohlraum targets scale to Z-IFE with gains ~ 100

Double-Ended Hohlraum



Peak current	2 x (62 – 82) MA
Energy delivered to pinches	2 x (19 – 33) MJ
Z-pinch x-ray energy output	2 x (9 – 16) MJ
Capsule absorbed energy	1.2 – 7.6 MJ
Capsule yield	400 – 4700 MJ

ICF

IFE

Dynamic Hohlraum



Peak current	56 – 95 MA
Energy delivered to pinch	14 – 42 MJ
Capsule absorbed energy	2.4 – 7.2 MJ
Capsule yield	530 – 4600 MJ

J. Hammer, M. Tabak 🖳 J. Lash, S. Slutz, R. Vesey





- 1D capsule designs with yields of 4 5 GJ have been developed for both approaches
- More 1D optimization is definitely desirable
- Much work remains in 2D design:
 - Hohlraum modeling for energetics & symmetry
 - Capsule modeling for symmetry and stability
- Z-IFE target design work benefits from the larger ICF effort:
 - Design tools (LASNEX simulation methods)
 - Experimental validation of energetics, pulse-shaping, and symmetry control on Z and ZR is ongoing
 - Dynamic hohlraum implosion symmetry control expts.
 - Double-ended hohlraum P4 radiation shimming expts.





Z-IFE target physics scaling

analytic arguments/ rad-hydro simulations/ empirical scaling from Z hohlraums 6 MJ of x-rays absorbed by capsule (26 MJ by hohlraum), adequate for 3 GJ yield gains of 50-100 are conceptually feasible

Double-shell targets

outside of inner shell typically unstable (Rayleigh-Taylor) density gradient stabilization (Amendt, et al., LLNL) capsule gains of 380-500 for yields of 3.5-3.8 GJ

Threat spectra for Z-IFE targets

initial BUCKY (1-D) results for 3 GJ yield DH targets

SNL (W. Varnum)

SNL (R. Olson)

LANL (R. Peterson)







Yield and Rep-Rate: few GJ every 3-10 seconds per chamber (0.1 Hz - 0.3 Hz) Thick liquid wall chamber: only one opening (at top) for driver; nominal pressure (10-20 Torr) RTL entrance hole is only 1% of the chamber surface area (for R = 5 m, r = 1 m) Flibe absorbs neutron energy, breeds tritium, shields structural wall from neutrons Neutronics studies indicate 30 year wall lifetimes Activation studies indicate 1-1.5 days cool-down time for RTLs Studies of waste steam analysis, RTL manufacturing, heat cycle, etc. in progress Z-Pinch IFE power plant studies: neutronics, chambers, target fabrication

6. Power Plant

<u>Neutronics for Z-IFE</u> Li, Flibe, LiPb assessed If assume a lifetime limit of 200 dpa for ferritic steel wall chamber, It will last for the whole 40 FPY plant life for 40 cm Flibe U. Wisconsin (M. Sawan)

Activation for Z-IFE

Flibe and chamber wall qualify for Class C low level waste after 40 years plant life

Chamber options proposed Carbon-carbon composite wall



LLNL (W. Meier et al.)

<u>Tungsten wire array + dynamic hohlraum/cryogenic target fabrication</u> Complete load: \$2.12 - \$2.86/ shot (recall budget for target and RTL is a few \$ for 3 GJ yields) GA (R. Gallix, et al.)







Status of Z-Pinch IFE Program



Substantial progress has been made in all areas of Z-Pinch IFE
A growing Z-Pinch IFE program is envisioned



Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

