### **Potential of Magnetic Mirrors**

#### <u>Development of</u> <u>Fusion Energy Science:</u> <u>Physics, Materials, Blankets</u>

Tom Simonen & Mirror Study Group Fusion Power Associates Washington D.C. December 3, 2009

## What is New?

- Data from Russia
  - Axisymmetric Concept
- Material Science Mission
- First Principles Simulation and Validation

### **Axisymmetric Mirrors**



- Circular Coils without Thermal Barriers
  - Simplified Engineering and Physics
    - No neoclassical radial transport
    - High mirror ratio and Natural Divertor
    - Ease of Construction and Maintenance

#### A Simple Mirror can be MHD Stable

- Seven Tested Methods
  - 1.Expander plasma Outflow (GDT)
  - 2.Plasma Rotation (MCX)
  - 3. Divertor(Tara)
  - 4. Pondermotive (Phadrus & Tara)
  - 5. End Wall Funnel Shape (Nizhni Novgorod)
  - 6. Line-Tying (UCBerkeley)
  - 7. Cusp End Plugs (GDT)

- Four Untested Methods
  - 1.Kinetic Stabilized Tandem Mirror (Post)
  - 2. Pulsed ECH Dynamic Stabilization (Post)
  - 3.Wall Stabilization & Feedback (Berk)
  - 4.Non-paraxial End Plugs (Ryutov)

## **2XII-B Beta=70% Ei = 10 keV**



- Te=140 eV with Stream Stabilized DCLC Nonlinear Stability
- Reactor Study Q ~ 1

### **TMX-U Tandem Mirror**



Sloshing lons Improve Micro-stability Te < 280 eV, tau-e ~ 100x that of 2XIIB Reactor Q > 10 but complex Thermal Barrier Thermal Barrier Confinement only at Low ne

#### GDT - Novosibirsk, Russia 60% Beta, Ei ~ 10 keV, Te < 230 eV



# GDT DD Neutron Axial Profile Agrees with Theory



### MSE Measurement of Beta Delta B versus Plasma Energy



- 50% Normalized to Vacuum Magnetic Field
- 100% Normalize to Depressed Magnetic Field (FLR)

### <u>A 14 MeV Neutron Source to</u> Qualify Materials & Subcomponents



## <u>Mirror Neutron Source</u> <u>Characteristics</u>

- Compared to IFMIF-like Point Sources
  - + 100x larger test volume
  - + True 14 MeV Neutron Energy Spectrum
  - + Potential for simultaneous neutron and plasma-wall interaction testing

**Compared to Tokamak Volume Sources** 

- + burns 100x less tritium
- 100x smaller test volume

#### Neutron Flux Increases With Te (for various NBI energies) Today's Te ~ 0.2 keV would produce ~ 0.3 MW/m2



## **A Development Path**

- GDT Collaboration (~2 M\$)
  - 20 keV 5 ms NBI & 0.3/6.5 T Magnetic Field
  - Theory & Simulation
  - Diagnostics
- Next Step Collaboration (~ 10 M\$)

– 40 keV – 1 sec. NBI & 1 T Magnetic Field

- Neutron Source Hydrogen Prototype Collaboration (~40 M\$)
  - 80 keV ss NBI & 2 T Magnetic Field

## **A Development Path**

Parameter	GDT	Next Step	Neutron Source
L, m	8	11	11
a, m	0.18	0.2	0.2
B(min), T	0.3	1.0	1.8
B(max), T	6.5	12	20
NBI, keV	20	40	80
NBI, MW	4	10	30
Duration, s	0.005	1	SS

# **Potential Scientific Value**

#### Validate Predictive Simulation

- Eb/Te similar to ITER Alpha Particle Ratio
- Open Field-Line Divertor Physics
- Vortex ExB-shear Analogous to Tokamak Second –Stability B-shear and H-mode
- Nonlinear Velocity Space Flattening Analogous to Toroidal Radial Flattening
- Unity Beta, Vortex, Micro-Turbulence
  connections to Space and Astrophysics

# Backup

### Minimum-B Magnetic-well is MHD Stable Simple Mirror is MHD Unstable







#### Vortex Shear Flow MHD "Stabilization" A.D. Beklemishev, Varenna 2008



**FIGURE 6.** (a) Scheme of the vortex potential profile perturbed by the "rigid" m = 1 mode, which generates a quasi-uniform transverse "wind-field",  $f = f_0 \cdot r \cos \theta$ . The flow-lines in the region h > 0 remain closed, if the perturbation amplitude is lower than the vortex amplitude,  $2f_0 < 1$ . (b) Potential profile in the perturbed flow-layer (slab). (c) Flow-lines in cylindrical geometry.

#### DCLC Simulation of Sloshing lons Bruce Cohen et. al. Phys. Fluids 27, (1984), 642



1.0

V11/2 V0



- Te Increases Loss -Cone Size
- Loss-Cone Drives Turbulence
- Turbulence Drives Plasma loss
- Plasma Loss Decreases Te
- <u>To Increase Te Increase</u> <u>Beam Energy to keep Similar</u> <u>Size Loss-Cone (Te ~Eb/)</u>