# **Advanced Tokamak Plasma Control in DIII-D**

E.J. Strait, R.L. Boivin, D.A. Humphreys, and the DIII-D Team

ITPA Joint Meeting on Control 14 July 2003





# BURNING PLASMA WITH SELF-GENERATED CURRENT PRESENTS NEW CHALLENGES FOR PLASMA CONTROL

- Strong coupling of transport, heating, and stability leads to a more "selforganized" plasma than in a short-pulse, externally heated tokamak:
  - Pressure →
     Fusion →
     Alpha heat →
     Thermal
     →
     Pressure

     profile
     rate
     deposition
     transport
     profile
     profile

     Pressure →
     Bootstrap →
     Current →
     Thermal
     →
     Pressure

     profile
     current
     profile
     transport
     →
     Pressure
- MHD instabilities can intervene in these loops:
  - − Pressure, current density, and → Instability→
    - → **Profile Modification**

fast ion profiles

- Highly coupled interaction between divertor/PFC, particle control systems
- Control of such a complex, nonlinear system represents a scientific and technical challenge, and requires an integrated, model-based approach
- Measurements required must be accurate, reliable, and have good coverage
- Today's plasma control represents only the beginning of what will be mature and routine in ITER...



# ADVANCED TOKAMAK PLASMAS NEED VERSATILE CONTROL

- Operating point control:
  - Global parameters
  - Profiles
  - Transport, transport barriers
- MHD stability control:
  - Instability detection and avoidance
  - Resistive wall mode stabilization
  - Neoclassical tearing mode stabilization
- Particle control (impurities, n<sub>D</sub>, n<sub>T</sub>, ...) and divertor operation
- Detection and mitigation of disruptions
- Integrated approach to plasma control



# **DIII-D Plasma Control Elements**



# ADVANCED TOKAMAK OPERATING POINT CONTROL

- Control of global quantities  $(I_p, \beta, n_e, etc...)$  is routine
- Advanced tokamaks need local profile control for
  - Avoidance of instabilities
  - Optimization and regulation of fusion power
- Real-time analysis of profile diagnostics is being developed
  - ECE, MSE, polarimetry, ...
- Current density profile control is in its infancy
  - ECCD is an effective tool for modification of J(r)
  - Real-time control is not yet routine
- Particle control not yet under robust and coupled control (highly shape dependent, for example)



# Local Electron Temperature Has Been Regulated with Electron Cyclotron Heating

- 2.5 MW of ECH applied at ρ=0.4
- Real-time ECE T<sub>e</sub>
   measurement
- Variation of ±150 eV, 2.5 eV/ms
- Triangle target waveform followed with high accuracy dynamic tracking





# APPLICATION OF ECCD IN HIGH- $\beta$ DISCHARGE RESULTS IN FAVORABLE CHANGES TO CURRENT PROFILE AND TRANSPORT



- Early H-mode used to access high q<sub>min</sub>
- β<sub>N</sub>≅2.8, H<sub>89</sub>≅2.4
   maintained by feedback
- ECCD causes increase in central magnetic shear
- Both T<sub>e</sub> and T<sub>i</sub> increase with application of ECCD



#### ECCD PEAKS CURRENT DENSITY AT RESONANCE LOCATION AND PRODUCES STRONGER NEGATIVE MAGNETIC SHEAR



• Clear evidence of q-profile modification also seen in quiescent double barrier (QDB) plasmas [E.J. Doyle, et al.]



#### ECCD CAN TRIGGER FORMATION OF CORE TRANSPORT BARRIERS IN ADVANCED TOKAMAK DISCHARGES

- Core barriers seen in all four transport channels with ECCD
  - No barriers in ECH case with no current drive
- Gyrokinetic stability code analysis shows
   ExB shear and Shafranov shift stabilization are both important





#### ECH OR ECCD PROVIDES LOCALIZED CONTROL OF PROFILES AND HIGH-Z IMPURITY ACCUMULATION

- Central high-Z impurity accumulation due to density peaking is critical issue for ITB research
  - Profile control is essential
- ECH reduces density peaking, controlling central high-Z impurity accumulation
  - n<sub>e</sub>(0)/n<sub>av</sub> decreases from
     2.1 to 1.5
- Similar results with ECH on ASDEX-U





# **TRANSPORT CONTROL**

- In a self-heated plasma, pressure profile must be controlled through transport:
  - ExB shear influences transport, but a burning plasma may have little beam-induced rotation
  - J(r) influences transport, but may be constrained by requirements for current sustainment
- Control of ITB is under development:
  - ECCD influenced ITB, but not tested with  $T_i = T_e$
  - Requirements for diagnostic resolution?



# MHD STABILITY CONTROL

- Long-wavelength kink or tearing modes can lead to disruption or degradation of confinement
- Avoidance of instability through control of operating point:
  - Real-time profile diagnostics measure operating point
    - Need adequate spatial resolution and coverage for local gradients (ITB)
  - Real-time calculation of relative MHD stability and approach to βlimits
  - Active MHD spectroscopy can provide direct measurement of the approach to stability boundaries
    - Need antennas to drive kHz-range magnetic perturbations
    - Can serve as proxy or backup for β-limit calculation



# Resistive Wall Mode Stabilized by Rotation Sustained with Error Field Reduction





### FEEDBACK CONTROL WITH INTERNAL COILS STABILIZES RWM WITH LOW ROTATION

- Magnetic braking reduces rotation to zero in outer half of plasma
- Case without feedback becomes unstable at lower beta, even with rotation
- Feedback with internal coils maintains stability for > 100 msec





# **RESISTIVE WALL MODE CONTROL**

- Resistive wall mode stabilization by strong plasma rotation is effective, but extrapolation to a burning plasma is uncertain:
  - Critical rotation frequency for a burning plasma-sized device is not known
  - Burning plasma may have little beam-induced rotation
  - Likely to need error field correction coils
- Resistive wall mode can be stabilized by direct feedback control:
  - Needs control coils near or inside first wall
  - Poloidal and toroidal coverage of coils
    - See talk by G. Navratil
  - Accurate detection over long pulses may require non-inductive sensors



# REQUIREMENT FOR $J_{ec}$ IS MINIMIZED FOR FWHM $\delta_{ec} \sim \ w_{th}$ NTM THRESHOLD ISLAND WIDTH

- Modeling assumes:
  - Good alignment
  - $w_{th} \cong \sqrt{3} \ (w_{pol}^2 + w_d^2)^{1/2}$

- J<sub>ec</sub> for dw/dt < 0 for all w:
  - i.e. 2/1 NTM stabilized



- FWHM  $\delta_{ec} = 4 \text{ cm}$ 
  - Evaluated at outboard midplane
- w<sub>th</sub>= 3.9 cm in DIII-D, 3.7 cm in ITER - w<sub>th</sub>/r =0.093 DIII-D, 0.029 ITER

# **NEOCLASSICAL TEARING MODE CONTROL**

- Neoclassical tearing modes can be stabilized by localized ECCD:
  - Suppression after mode appears uses simple search or nonlinear optimal alignment predictor
  - Sustained stabilization requires real-time location of rational surface
    - Neural network or physics-based predictors based on external magnetic data
    - Real-time q-profile analysis from equilibrium reconstruction with MSE (planned on DIII-D)
- EC power requirements depend on width of current drive layer (needs experimental verification):
  - Synchronous modulation of ECCD can improve efficiency



# Disruption Detection and Mitigation with the DIII-D Plasma Control System

- VDE detector:
  - Detects plasma vertical position past threshold
  - Triggers gas injection system to mitigate
  - − Trigger→quench ~5ms
- Radiated power limit detector:
  - Detects plasma radiated power fraction exceeding threshold
- 2/1-Locked mode detector: <
  - Detects presence of 2/1
     NTM and growth of
     locked mode with
     disruptive dynamics



## INTEGRATED PLASMA CONTROL IS NEEDED FOR OPTIMIZING ADVANCED TOKAMAK OPERATION

Integrated Plasma Control :

- Takes into account multivariable cross-coupling of complex plasma responses to external actuators (e.g. NTM stabilization by ECCD is affected by modification of q profile when ECCD is applied and by transport effects of varying NTM amplitude)
- Provides high reliability, high performance control for complex systems while minimizing machine operations development time required
- Combines all elements of control system design process:
  - Modeling (plasma response, actuators, diagnostics)
  - Model validation against experimental response
  - Algorithm/controller design based on validated models
  - Closed loop system simulation
  - Test of hardware/software implementation

# INTEGRATED PLASMA CONTROL INCLUDES EFFICIENT DESIGN AND OFFLINE TESTING TO PRODUCE HIGH PERFORMANCE CONTROL ALGORITHMS





# Detailed Simulations of Integrated Control Systems are Already Being Applied to MHD Control Development



NAL FUSION

SAN DIEGO

D3D\_Sim

# **Summary and Conclusions**

- Tools are available for detailed control of the operating point in advanced tokamak operation
  - Profile control and ITB regulation are not yet routine
  - Requirements on diagnostics must be considered and specified in detail;
     can differ between reference and AT scenarios
- Control of MHD stability is promising
  - RWM control may require rotation drive or closely coupled coils
  - Power requirements for localized ECCD depend on threshold island width and current drive width
- Other aspects of AT control (e.g. divertor, particle, fueling) need to be addressed
- Integrated, model-based control design and operation is essential