A Comparison of Unit Costs for FIRE and ITER

Dale M. Meade

MFE Plenary Session on ITER Costs 2002 Fusion Summer Study Snowmass, CO

July 9, 2002

http://fire.pppl.gov



A Comparison of Unit Costs for FIRE and ITER

- A simple rule of thumb for comparing costs of similar devices/projects is to compare the cost/weight or \$/lb.
- The estimated total project cost of several proposed burning plasma experiments was compared with the weight of the fusion power core (cryostat and everything inside).

| | FIRE | BPX | PCAST5 | ARIES-RS | ITER-FEAT | ITER-EDA |
|------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Major Radius (m) | 2.14 | 2.59 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 8.1 |
| Weight (tonne) | 1,371 | 3,099 | 9,607 | 12,678 | 18,812 | 41,968 |
| \$B (FY02) | 1.2 | 2.2 | 7.1 | 11.2 | 5 | 10 |
| \$M / tonne | 0.88 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.88 | 0.27 | 0.25 |

• References

FIRE – Snowmass 2002 Report

BPX – Symposium of Fusion Engineering Proceedings (IEEE), September 1991 PCAST5 – PCAST Design Report, December 1995 (http://fire.pppl.gov) ARIES-RS – ARIES-RS Final (8/30/96), (http://aries.ucsd.edu/ARIES/wdocs/) ITER-FEAT – ITER Technical Basis, IAEA 2002, G A0SP 2 01-06-01 R2.0 ITER-EDA – Technical Basis for ITER-FDR, IAEA no. 16,1998,





Comparison of FIRE First Wall Tiles with ITER First Wall and Blanket Cost

| | FIRE | ITER |
|---------------------------------|------|------|
| Weight (tonne) | 28.6 | 1530 |
| Surface Area (m ²) | 88 | 939 |
| Cost (\$M,FY02) | 21 | 237 |
| Cost/Area (\$M/m ²) | 0.24 | 0.25 |
| Cost/tonne (\$M/tonne) | 0.73 | 0.15 |

Comparison made as a result of Snowmass discussions

Comments on the Unit Cost Comparison of FIRE and ITER

- FIRE costs are in line with the cost estimates for other low tech (LN cooled BeCu plate coils, inertial first wall cooling, low nuclear requirements) facilities (BPX and PCAST5.
- The similarity of FIRE and ARIES-RS (advanced tokamak power plant) unit costs of \$0.88M/tonne could be due to economy of scale counteracting the increased costs due to high tech requirements.
- The ITER costs appear to scale with fusion core mass, but the unit cost is ≈ 1/3 the unit cost of FIRE, PCAST5 and ARIES-RS. The lower unit cost of ITER-FEAT, (superconducting, near steady state cooling with near power plant regulatory requirements) does not seem reasonable when compared to the simpler low tech burning plasma experiments like FIRE.