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Mission:
Make the mission more exciting and compelling

What are the generic requirements to address the mission?
balance between BP and AT
keep it affordable, balanced program (MFAC XIV, PCAST 95)

Are we scientifically ready to embark on a next step in MFES?

FIRE:
Will FIRE attain to BP conditions?
margin, flexibility and physics capability

What AT physics could FIRE explore?
regimes, margin, flexibility,

What is optimum configuration, min cost and operating plan?
**Recommendation R1-1:** The Committee recommends that the FIRE project review its mission statement with the goal of strengthening and communicating the excitement of the science of self-heated fusion-dominated plasmas. The project should review other mission statements from the Office of Science in order to understand better how to articulate the depth of the science and the excitement of the science to the broader scientific community and to the public.

**Recommendation R1-2:** Although this sentiment was clear, a number of Committee members felt quite strongly that the FIRE facility should be capable of addressing Advanced Tokamak (AT) physics issues—both in the context that improved physics would enhance the ability to pursue self-heated fusion dominated plasmas, and also in the context that FIRE should, as much as possible, be able to explore the regimes that lead to an attractive reactor. *(Jardin/Kessel talks)*

**Recommendation R1-6:** The Committee recommends that the project clearly show the logic for how the mission statement leads to the design point. The size of the machine, the aspect ratio, the toroidal field, and other design considerations should be better explained on the basis of meeting the objectives of the device. In particular, the choice of aspect ratio and the size of the device should be further examined with respect to accessibility of physics regimes and the cost of the device. The PAC requests that the choice of the design point be further discussed at a future meeting. *(also Schultz talk)*
Steps from the Vision to FIRE

Vision (the public inspiration)
HEP, NASA

Mission (statement for broad physics community)

Scientific Objectives (workscope for the fusion/plasma community)

Plasma Parameters (needed to address objectives)

Device Parameters (needed to produce plasma parameters)

Project Cost must be affordable (if not reduce the mission)
Visions from other Fields

- Connecting Quarks with the Cosmos: Eleven Science Questions for the New Century (HEP)
- NASA is an investment in America’s Future - to boldly explore the frontiers.
- Interactions, a glossy 30 page handout for HEP, (look at HEP Snowmass)
- Mars Explorers
- Fusion - securing an energy for the future of mankind

(First, we need a strong vision statement for fusion.)
Vision and Mission for a Major Next Step in Magnetic Fusion (2)

FIRE Vision Statement (some suggestions)

• Lighting the Fusion Fire
• Lighting the Way to the Future
• Exploring, Explaining and Expanding the frontiers of plasma science

FIRE Mission Statement

“Attain, explore, understand and optimize alpha fusion-dominated plasmas to provide knowledge for the design of attractive MFE systems.”
Fusion Science Objectives for a Major Next Step Experiment (e.g., FIRE)

• Explore and understand the physics of fusion-dominated plasmas:
  • Energy and particle transport (extend confinement predictability)
  • Macroscopic stability ($\beta$-limit, wall stabilization, NTMs)
  • Wave-particle interactions (fast alpha driven effects)
  • Plasma boundary (density limit, power and particle flow)
• Strong nonlinear coupling of previous issues, and self-heating(self-organization?)
• Test techniques to control and optimize fusion-dominated plasmas.
• Sustain fusion-dominated plasmas - high-power-density exhaust of plasma particles and energy, alpha ash exhaust, study effects of profile evolution due to alpha heating on macro stability, transport barriers and energetic particle modes.
• Explore and understand various advanced operating modes and configurations in fusion dominated plasmas to provide generic knowledge for fusion plasma science, and to provide a foundation for attractive fusion applications.
## Dimensionless Parameters Required for Fusion Plasma Physics Experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explore and Understand Fusion Plasmas</th>
<th>Core* Edge</th>
<th>Alpha</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy and Particle Transport</td>
<td>BR^{5/4} ?</td>
<td>P_\alpha/P_{heat}</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroscopic Stability</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>\beta_\alpha \sim ARIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave Particle (alpha heating, fast alpha)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma Boundary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Control and Optimization Techniques</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>0.4 to 0.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and Particle Transport</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>0.4 to 0.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroscopic Stability</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>0.5 to 0.8</td>
<td>3 to 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave Particle (alpha heating, fast alpha)</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>0.5 to 0.8</td>
<td>1.5 to 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Control and Optimization Techniques</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>0.4 to 0.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust of power, particles and ash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profile evolution impact on (\tau_E), MHD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore and Understand Some AT Modes</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
<td>0.4 to 0.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIES-AT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE Goals</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5 to 0.8</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JET/TFTR D-T Experiments</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Core parameters are normalized to ARIES-AT BR^{5/4}

This is a start on this issue, a more detailed table is being developed for the meeting.
Requirements for a Next Step Burning Plasma Experiment

Note: these are the projections on to the 2-D planes.

$f_{ss}$ is the plasma current equilibration fraction
**Design Goals**

- $R = 2.0 \text{ m, } a = 0.525 \text{ m}$
- $B = 10 \text{ T, } (12T)^*$
- $W_{mag} = 3.8 \text{ GJ, } (5.5T)^*$
- $I_p = 6.5 \text{ MA, } (7.7 \text{ MA})^*$
- $P_{\alpha} > P_{aux}, P_{fusion} < 200 \text{ MW}$
- Burn Time $\approx 18.5s \ (\approx 12s)^*$
- Tokamak Cost $\leq 0.3B$
- Base Project Cost $\leq 1B$

* Higher Field Mode

Cost goal drives one to the smallest size and constrained mission.

---

**Attain, explore, understand and optimize fusion-dominated plasmas that will provide knowledge for attractive MFE systems.**
Plans (Ideas) for Vision and Mission Development

• Look for guidance from the UFA Burning Plasma Science Workshop Series
  
  followup on action items
  
  more active involvement of the community

• Respond to FESAC Burning Plasma Review

• Continue outreach activity ~ 30 talks in last 18 months, 1/3 non-fusion audiences
  
  extend discussions and visits within the fusion community
  
  actively participate/discuss with broader physical sciences community

  Spring APS in Washington, DC, AAAS meetings, HEP Snowmass?

  Accelerator laboratories - physics and enabling technology

  High Field Magnet Community, NASA science and technology