

MW Spallation Neutron Sources for Fusion Materials Testing

**Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
Colloquium**

October 29, 2009

Don Rej


***Los Alamos National Laboratory
Science Program Office***

Outline


- Fusion Materials Issues, Needs, & Performance Gaps
- Neutron Irradiation Requirements & Options
- High-Power Spallation Neutron Source Applicability for Fusion Materials Testing
- LANSCE Facility
- Materials Test Station Project at LANSCE
- Transition from “observation & validation” to “prediction & control” - the MaRIE Facility Concept

Fusion reactor materials must function in a uniquely hostile radiation, thermal, & chemical environment

- There are no known materials for the first wall & blanket structural materials of a fusion system that can withstand the 10-15 MW-year/m² high neutron & heat fluences in the extreme environments of a fusion reactor.
 - Existing structural materials are not ideal for advanced nuclear energy systems due to limited operating temperature windows
 - May produce technically viable design, but not with desired optimal economic attractiveness
- High heat, neutron fluxes and mechanical stresses result in microstructure & bulk property changes over long time.
 - Voids, bubbles, dislocations and phase instabilities
 - Dimensional instabilities (swelling & irradiation-thermal creep)
 - Loss of strain hardening capability
 - He embrittlement
 - Fatigue, creep-fatigue, crack growth
 - Corrosion, oxidation and impurity embrittlement (refractories)
 - Transient & permanent changes in electrical & thermal properties



High He may narrow or even close the window



N. Ghoniem & B.D. Wirth, 2002



Radiation Damage can Produce Large Changes in Structural Materials

- Radiation hardening and embrittlement ($<0.4 T_M$, >0.1 displacements per atom (dpa))
- Phase instabilities from radiation-induced precipitation ($0.3-0.6 T_M$, >10 dpa)
- Irradiation creep ($<0.45 T_M$, >10 dpa)
- Volumetric swelling from void formation ($0.3-0.6 T_M$, >10 dpa)
- High temperature He embrittlement ($>0.5 T_M$, >10 dpa)

Source: S. Zinkle, 23rd SOFE (San Diego, 2009)



Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNSA




Dynamic, stochastic processes in extreme environments dominate phenomena, such as swelling, that we do not understand

PPPL Oct. 29, 2009



UNCLASSIFIED

Critical unanswered question is the Impact of H- and He-Rich Environment on Neutron Irradiated Materials

- A unique aspect of the DT fusion environment is **substantial** production of gaseous transmutants such as He and H.
- Accumulation of He can have **major** implications for the integrity of fusion components & structures such as:
 - Loss of high-temperature creep strength.
 - Increased swelling and irradiation creep at intermediate temperatures.
 - Potential for loss of ductility and fracture toughness at low temperatures.



Voids in F82H at 500°C,
9dpa, 380 appm He




Source: R. Kurtz, M. Mauel, M. Nastasi, R. Odette, S. Sharafat, R. Stoller, S. Zinkle, MFES Research Needs Workshop (Bethesda, 2009)

PPPL Oct. 29, 2009



Needs & Materiel Performance Gaps

- Finding & validating materials & blanket concepts in a fusion relevant environment is a necessary step for the design, construction, licensing, & safe operation of DEMO, *and* intermediate facilities to be built between the ITER and the DEMO.
- To test & fully qualify candidate materials for high-fluence service in DEMO, a high-flux source of high energy neutrons needs to be built and operated that simulates service up to the full lifetime anticipated for DEMO and it's prerequisite facilities (*e.g.*, CTF).



The need for a neutron irradiation source has been articulated by the U.S. fusion community


- 2007 FESAC Panel recommended 9 initiatives, including:
 - A materials qualification facility that “would involve testing & qualification of low-activation materials by intense neutron bombardment. The facility generally associated with this mission is the IFMIF. **The potential for alternative irradiation facilities to reduce or possibly eliminate the need for the US to participate as a full partner in IFMIF needs to be assessed.**”
- 2009 ReNeW recommendations:
 - **"An essential requirement** to fulfill the mission of (the Materials) Thrust **is the establishment of a fusion-relevant neutron source** to perform accelerated characterization of the effects of radiation damage to materials."
 - Specific example options cited: (1) IFMF; (2) Materials Test Station (LANSCE); (3) Dynamic Trap Neutron Source.
 - Carefully evaluate options & select the most technically attractive and cost effective approach or combination of approaches.
 - Balance need to obtain relevant bulk material property information with cost, schedule & potential for international participation to leverage investments by the US.
 - Later possibility might be to include large-scale nuclear facility such as the proposed FNSF. However, it must be emphasized that bulk material property data from a fusion relevant n source would inform the design, construction and licensing of such facilities.



PPPL Oct. 29, 2009

The need for a neutron irradiation source has been articulated by the International fusion community

- Materials test facility options considered over last 3 decades.
 - Community selected a neutron source based upon D-Li stripping reaction as the basic concept of the International Fusion Materials Irradiation Facility (IFMIF).
- 2008 EU Fusion Facility Review concluded that:
 - During ITER construction, key strategic R&D emphasis should be on “establishing experimental means for validation” of materials in preparation for DEMO design
 - “During the following decade focus must shift towards.... optimizing and validating suitable materials and components for DEMO.It is imperative to make IFMIF available for preparing the DEMO engineering design & construction.”



R&D Needs and Required Facilities for the Development of Fusion as an Energy Source

Report of the Fusion Facilities Review Panel
October 2008

Current High-Power Accelerators with Spallation Neutron Production Capability



SNS (Oak Ridge)



LANSCE (Los Alamos)



SINQ (Paul Scherrer Inst.)



J-PARC (JAEA & KEK)



ISIS (Rutherford Appleton Lab)

Using a spallation source for fusion materials testing is not a new idea

- Kley, Perlado, et al. (1984-89): EURAC proposal (600 MeV / 6 mA)
- Doran and Leiss (1989): IEA Evaluation Panel Report concluded that d-Li, spallation, and beam-plasma concepts all have the potential to meet flux, fluence, and test volume requirements
- Kondo, et al. (1992): concern over the neutron spectrum in spallation sources extending to several hundred MeV where "neutron data are poorly known, computational tools are inadequate, and radiation effects are poorly understood"
- IEA Evaluation Panel (Kondo 1992) concluded that "A spallation source is not generally favored by the materials community. It is a viable candidate only if it can be attained at much less expense than the alternatives."

Summary

Neutron Source Evaluation Process and Evaluation Panel Report

D. G. Doran^a and J. E. Leiss^b

WORKSHOP OBJECTIVES AND ORGANIZATION

This International Energy Agency (IEA) workshop had two primary objectives: (1) to provide an international forum at which the scientific community could present concepts for a potential International Fusion Materials Irradiation Facility (IFMIF), and (2) to conduct an evaluation of such concepts by an international panel of experts in terms of the suitability of such concepts for fusion materials re-

search. A second set, resulting from the preparatory meeting in Rome, was distributed at the meeting. It differs from the first primarily by trying to quantify the need for large test volumes at modest fluxes. Several presentations at the workshop emphasized that the facility should fill the need for testing of nonmetals and plasma-facing components, and studies of interactive failure, as well as the more commonly considered alloy development.

The current activity in Japan, centered at the Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, to secure an Irradiation Test The FSNTT (a "d.l.i. able energy (max, taping on a flowing ions over a wide en for a variety of disci- aspects of fusion mate- high fluxes, re-evaluation process; able I. The Feasibility of projected technical while the Suitability posed facilities ad- materials community, the information from findings and recom- occupied 2 days fol-

Journal of Nuclear Materials 191-194 (1992) 100-107
North-Holland

The status and prospects of high-energy neutron test facilities for fusion materials development

T. Kondo^a, D.G. Doran^b, K. Ehrlich^c and F.W. Wiffen^d

^aJapan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai-mura, Natsushima-cho, Japan
^bWashington State University Tri-Cities, 100 Spruill Rd., Richland, WA 99352, USA
^cKernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, Postfach 3640, D-7500 Karlsruhe, Germany
^dUS Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585, USA

The status of progress in the development of facility concepts and the relevant technology is summarized, referring to the recent outcomes from the international collaborative activities on reviewing the technical feasibility and the suitability of candidate facilities for testing fusion reactor materials. The discussion is focused on the way to reach the goal of an international fusion materials irradiation facility (IFMIF), which is capable of testing materials for DEMO reactors and beyond. Based on the state of knowledge reviewed, a staged approach is suggested in phase with the current world strategy for fusion power development.

1. Introduction

Journal of Fusion Energy, Vol. 8, No. 3/4, 1989

Option for Spallation Neutron Sources

J. M. Perlado,¹ M. Piera,¹ and J. Sanz¹

Spallation reactions are a very important option for efficient neutron sources appropriate for fusion materials testing. An "option of this type" is the EURAC concept, which makes use of short-term accelerator technology in the cheapest way and is proved to provide the needed neutron flux to verify fast experiments on fusion materials performance. Its flexible conception allows an optimum combination of very high fluxes of about 10^{18} n/cm²/s, with decreasing fluxes along the testing areas in enough volume to perform the correct irradiations. With this assumption, the rate effect can be perfectly analyzed together with the end-of-life conditions assumed in the structural material of the latest fusion environments. The possible negative effects of the high-energy neutrons in the spallation spectrum have been taken into account, concluding their non-significance in the desired damage parameters. The EURAC concept can also be considered in light of other purposes like incineration process, μ production, and, with the appropriate booster, high-flux cold neutron source.

KEY WORDS: spallation; liquid target; proton accelerator; neutron source; high energy; fusion technology; material damage; EOL; experiments; atomic displacement; tritium production; cold neutron

1. INTRODUCTION

It is a general understanding in the fusion materials research community that the end-of-life of the first wall or blanket materials will be determined by competing complex phenomena.

Due to the non-linear interaction among the different mechanisms involved during the irradiation time to end-of-life conditions, and in the absence of an appropriate synergistic theory on how the damage is accumulated in the material, one cannot extrapolate from low-dose irradiation to end-of-life conditions. Neutron radiation damage can be performed to some extent with charged-particle interaction, D-T sources, or high-flux fusion reactors. None of these possibilities have been found to be good for the

technological and engineering materials database, recognizing the availability of these sources to obtain results on the basic scientific understanding of some fundamental mechanisms.

According to the present results on the accumulated damage and fluences in the materials at end-of-life, the structural materials of the first wall in conceptual magnetic fusion reactors will handle 40 MW y/m² equivalent to 400 dpa. Mattias *et al.*⁽¹⁾ predicted that the lifetime of SS 316 in Starfire (FSW: 1 mm (Be)-1.5 mm SS, 1.5 MW/m², and Tmax = 415°C) will be about 20 dpa or 0.4 years. More recent evaluations⁽²⁾ on other conceptual reactors and more sophisticated calculational methodology predict damage as high as 1000 dpa for ending life conditions. In the most optimistic case, the fluences will be between 10^{17} - 10^{19} n/cm² accumulated over a period of 30 years, with fluxes of about 10^{14} n/cm²/s. To obtain these high fluences in an experi-

were referred to the anticipated operating conditions for a DEMO reactor. The derived criteria are:

(1) neutron flux corresponding to a wall loading of 2 MW/m² (6.9×10^{18} n/m²/s uncollided flux or equivalent to 6×10^{-7} dpa/s collided flux for iron),

(2) neutron spectrum as close as possible to that in the first wall in terms of the displacement rate, the energy of primary knock-on atoms (PKA) and the transmutation rates,

(3) fluence producing up to 100 dpa in several years,

(4) irradiation volume of 10 liters in high flux region of 2 MW/m² or greater, and

(5) other conditions: low flux gradients, accessibility and quasi-continuous time structure of neutron flux.

These criteria made it possible to produce an initial evaluation of several candidate neutron sources [5]. The workshop results stimulated various activities and have been followed by several international events [6-8]. At the latest meeting in Tokyo, the principal topic was the status of d-Li source technology, since this system is currently the most mature. In domestic activities an intermediate size d-Li type irradiation facility, the energy selective neutron irradiation test facility (ESNTT) [9,10], is being designed in Japan. In the US, detailed concepts based on the earlier FMIT program and subsequent advances in accelerator technology have been proposed for energy-selective and modular facilities affording flux-volumes beyond FMIT, and a deuteron accelerator under construction in the United States for near-term non-fusion use has been considered for modification to fusion applications. In Germany a new accelerator-based concept has

© 1989 B.V. All rights reserved


PPPL Oct. 29, 2009

So what's different today?

- Nuclear data and simulation codes have made significant improvements
 - Nuclear data evaluations now extend to 150 MeV and include both He production and damage energy cross sections
 - Significant improvements in intranuclear cascade, high-energy fission, and evaporation models have been made, e.g.
 - New INCL / ABLA model
 - Improvements in evaporation models that now show better agreement with experimental data on He production
 - New experimental data against which to benchmark the codes
- The Materials Test Station:
A cost effective spallation source building on existing infrastructure at LANSCE
 - Existing 1 MW proton linac with shared DOE sponsorship
 - Existing experimental hall with all needed utilities
 - Target designed specifically for high neutron flux irradiation



While a fusion reactor, a spallation source, and IFMIF have different spectra, materials damage is similar



	MTS	IFMIF	Fusion Reactor
dpa/fpy	6-34	20-55	20-30
appm He/dpa	5-33	10-12	10-15
appm H/dpa	24-240	35-54	40-50
transmutations in Fe			
appm Mn/dpa	10	37	20-24

- Major transmutants are similar for the three systems .
- Lack of neutrons below 100 keV in IFMIF HFTM yields a harder primary knock-on atom (PKA) spectrum than that for a fusion reactor 1st wall.

LANSCCE presently provides the US & international research communities a diverse set of premier facilities



Unique, highly-flexible beam delivery to multiple facilities 6 mo/yr @ 24/7, > 80% reliability, with ~ 1200

Lujan Center

- *Materials science and condensed matter research*
- *Bio-science*
- *Nuclear physics*
- *A National BES user facility*

WNR

- *Nuclear physics*
- *Semiconductor irradiation*

Ultra-cold Neutron Facility

- *Fundamental nuclear physics*

Proton Radiography

- *HE science, dynamic materials science, hydrodynamics*


Isotope Production Facility

- *Nuclear medicine*
- *Research isotope production*


LANSCCE serves a well-established and developing user community

Present LANSCE: 1200 User Visits Annually: 40 states, 15 foreign countries

User Institutions



User Discipline




Year-to-date beam reliabilities exceeds 80% goals

CY2009 Year-to-Date			
Target	Hours Scheduled	Hours Delivered	Reliability
Lujan	1839.0	1502.8	81.7%
WNR Target 4	1716.5	1522.6	88.7%
pRad	508.3	448.8	88.3%
IPF	1869.4	1774.1	94.9%
UCN	746.0	611.8	82.0%
WNR Target 2	146.7	134.2	91.5%

Replacement value of LANSCE is ~\$1.5B - with proper investment & maintenance, facility has no practical lifetime limit



The beam is produced by an injector and accelerated to 0.75 MeV



A Drift-Tube Linac Increases the Energy to 100 MeV



A Side-Coupled Linac Further Increases the Energy to 800 MeV



Control Room

PPPL Oct. 29, 2009

UNCLASSIFIED

- 16-

Substantial capital investments in the LANSCE Facility are underway to further improve reliability


- Facility Infrastructure & Revitalization Projects (FIRP, \$25M, NNSA)
 - Radioactive liquid waste plant replacement
 - Cooling towers: 3 old units replaced with two modern units that provide greater efficiency and improved chemistry control
 - >30 year old chilled water plant replaced in FY04
 - Key sector water and power systems, Lujan spallation neutron target, and ventilation system all replaced in FY07
- LANSCE Refurbishment (LANSCE-R) Project (\$149M, NNSA)
 - Scope includes replacement of RF Power System Components, Drift Tube Linac Subsystems, Facility Control Systems.
 - CD-0 granted in FY07
 - Working towards a 2015 completion schedule and expects CD-1 approval from NNSA in FY09
- Materials Test Station (MTS) Project (\$58-90M, DOE-NE)
 - Provide irradiation capability for candidate fast-reactor fuels, targets and materials



PPPL Oct. 29, 2009

LANSC-E-R ensures reliable LANSCE operations to well into the 21st century

- The LANSCE Refurbishment project is a 5 year, \$149M line item construction project designed to:
 - Refurbish the 201MHz and 805 MHz RF systems to regain reliable RF power system operation.
 - Restore 120 MHz linac operation.
 - Implement a modern, maintainable EPICS-based control system.
- The project is integrated with operations to ensure continued programmatic research and a robust user program during project execution.
- CD-1 approved in late FY08




The LANSCE LINAC

LANSCCE Materials Test Station to be 1st spallation source for high-flux neutron irradiation studies

The quickest path to a fast-spectrum fission & fusion irradiation capability.

- Up to 2×10^{15} n/cm²/s (w/ beam upgrades), appropriate to prove transuranic fuel (e.g., Np, Pu, Am, Cm) performance
- Spectrum relevant for fusion materials testing
- Controlled prototypic temperature, coolant environment
- Prompt data retrieval for experimenters



Status:

- CD-0 approved
- Working CD-1

MTS is being built in an existing 3,000-m² experimental hall located at the end of the Los Alamos LANSCE linac, which has successfully delivered 800-kW, 800-MeV beam to this area for a quarter century.

Ref: E.J. Pitcher, in *Utilization & Reliability of High Power Proton Accelerators* (OECD Publishing, 2008) pp. 427-433.




Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNSA

UNCLASSIFIED


- 19 -

PPPL Oct. 29, 2009

Target Assembly – Expanded View



MTS produces an intense neutron flux for fast reactor fuels and materials irradiations




While designed for fission irradiations, the MTS environment is well suited for fusion materials testing, short-lived isotope production, transmutation studies, and cross section measurements.

MTS is the only viable option for near-term domestic fast-spectrum irradiations

- No domestic facility today
- Limited facilities abroad
 - Phenix will close in 2009
 - JOYO operations plans under revision
 - BOR-60 access no longer viable
- A new domestic fast reactor will take at least a decade to build


Criterion	Design Requirement	Current Design
Neutron spectrum	Similar to that of a fast reactor	Meets requirement
Peak fast (>0.1 MeV) neutron flux	$\geq 1 \times 10^{15} \text{ n.cm}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$	$1.3 \times 10^{15} \text{ n.cm}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$
Irradiation volume	40 pellets in fast flux of at least $1 \times 10^{15} \text{ n.cm}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$	Exceeds requirement by factor of 5
Irradiation temperature	Up to 550 °C at clad surface	Meets requirement
Availability	$\geq 3\%/y$ burnup and $\geq 10 \text{ dpa/y}$ in Fe in the peak flux region	4%/y burnup and 18 dpa/y in Fe
Prototypic fast reactor environment	Ability to accommodate liquid metal coolants	Meets requirement



MTS neutron spectrum is similar to that of a fast reactor with the addition of a high-energy tail

PPPL Oct. 29, 2009

MTS flux gradient in the lateral direction is sufficiently low for material sample irradiations



MTS radiation damage in Fe is predominantly from neutrons

Damage in Fe from neutrons and protons, dpa & He production in the peak damage position within the materials modules at 1 MW & 1.8 MW.

1 MW

	dpa/FPY	appm He/FPY	appm He/dpa
neutrons	24	257	13.4
protons	1	81	
total	25	339	

1.8 MW

	dpa/FPY	appm He/FPY	appm He/dpa
neutrons	44	463	13.4
protons	2	147	
total	46	610	

Energy deposition in the peak flux location is dominated by proton heating

Energy deposited in fusion candidate materials in W/cm³ from neutrons, protons and photons at 1.25 mA and 2.25 mA.


1 MW

Material	energy deposition by			total
	neutrons	photons	protons	
V-4Cr-4Ti	2.3	9.8	54.3	66.4
T91	2.4	15.1	80.7	98.2
Nb-1Zr	1.9	23.0	81.3	106.1
SiC	6.9	5.1	41.8	53.7
316L	2.6	14.2	73.0	89.7


1.8 MW

Material	energy deposition by			total
	neutrons	photons	protons	
V-4Cr-4Ti	4.2	17.7	97.7	119.5
T91	4.3	27.2	145.3	176.8
Nb-1Zr	3.3	41.3	146.3	191.0
SiC	12.3	9.2	75.2	96.7
316L	4.7	25.5	131.3	161.5


A broad range of sample irradiation temperatures are possible by adjusting gas gap composition




The MTS neutron spectrum has potential application for fusion materials research



Comparisons of primary knock-on atom (PKA) spectra of a fusion reactor 1st wall, IFMIF High-Flux Test Module, & MTS




Within the fuel module, the peak damage rate is 17 dpa/calendar year, with He/dpa = 13 appm/dpa




The MTS is a cost-effective alternative for a fusion materials irradiation facility

- MTS total project cost range is \$63M to \$81M (1 MW baseline, funded by DOE-NE)
- LANSCE beam power upgrade options:




At 1.8 MW, MTS provides nearly the same dose and irradiation volume as IFMIF


MTS beam power = 1 MW




MTS beam power = 1.8 MW



MTS irradiation volume is sufficient for conducting a vigorous fusion materials R&D program



MTS irradiation locations can contain a range of different macroscopic specimens (tensile, compact tension, etc.)




- TEM Specimens would be included for microstructural studies
- Each Tube needs to have at least two thermocouples for instrumentation

Operating a spallation source is cost effective


- Annual electricity usage comparison
 - IFMIF: 230 million kW-h
 - MTS (at 1 MW, 1.8 MW, or 3.6 MW): ~40 million kW-h
(800-MeV protons have 10 times greater neutron production per unit beam power than 40-MeV deuterons)
- Other accelerator operating costs (*e.g.*, staff, spare parts)
 - IFMIF: accelerator is wholly dedicated to IFMIF target
 - MTS: LANSCE is a multi-target facility with shared accelerator operating costs
 - Shared accelerator beam does not preclude 1- to 3.6-MW beam delivery to MTS)

Pulsed nature of LANSCE proton beam being assessed relative to steady-state reactor conditions

- Studies indicate that the 100-Hz repetition rate of the LANSCE accelerator should exhibit radiation damage conditions close to that of steady-state
 - Graph shows calculated void growth vs. temperature for pulsing frequencies of 0.1, 1, and 10 Hz [Ghoniem & Gurol, Rad Effects 55 (1981) 209].
- Further work is needed to understand the effects of:
 - 7.5% beam duty factor
 - Beam rastering



Material sample operating temperature recovers from beam trips within minutes




beam trip duration: — 1 sec — 10 sec — 100 sec — 1000 sec


Impurity build-up during irradiation is small

- Most prominent nuclide created by spallation has atomic number (Z-1), *e.g.*, for Fe-based alloys, Mn has the highest production rate
- The production rate of Mn from Fe at the peak flux position is about 10 appm/dpa
- For Fe samples taken to 50 dpa, this represents about a 0.05% “burn-in” of Mn
- Most Fe alloys have some Mn as an alloying agent, *e.g.*, about 0.5% in T91

Elemental Residual Comparisons
V-4Cr-4Ti, 25 dpa




T91, 25 dpa



Similar results seen for impurity buildup in SiC and Nb-1Zr


Elemental Residual Comparisons

SiC, 25 dpa



Elemental Residual Comparisons

Nb-1Zr, 25 dpa




Introduction to the MaRIE Facility Concept: A Transition from “observation & validation” to “prediction & control”

Achieve Transformational Materials Performance

- Solutions require unprecedented control of defects & interfaces

Through Predictive Multi-scale Understanding

- Perform experiments with unprecedented spectral, temporal, and spatial resolution in previously un-accessed extremes




with an emphasis on Radiation-Matter Interactions

- Nuclear is special for LANL and for the world
- LANSCE is key to our uniqueness in materials-centric national security science

MaRIE will be the first capability with unique co-located tools necessary to realize transformational advances in materials performance in extremes

Transition from “observation & validation” to “prediction & control” is the frontier of materials research


<http://www.sc.doe.gov/bes/reports/list.html>



By engaging thousands of scientists around the world in a series of workshops, BES has defined 5 key grand challenges for materials research

- Control the quantum behavior of electrons in materials
- Synthesize, atom by atom, new forms of matter with tailored properties
- Control emergent properties that arise from the complex correlations of atomic and electronic constituents
- Synthesize man-made nanoscale objects with capabilities rivaling those of living things
- Control matter very far away from equilibrium

“The intersection of control science with high-functioning materials creates a tipping point for sustainable energy”



Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNSA

MaRIE provides to the user community the needed “beyond nano” tools for discovering and controlling complex materials

FILED OCT. 29, 2009


UNCLASSIFIED

Experimental tools with unprecedented resolution are needed to validate & test the limits of modeling & simulation

Radiation damage is inherently multiscale with interacting phenomena ranging from ps to decades and nm to m

Anticipated advances in petaflop/s and exaflop/s computing – with advanced models - put us on the verge of accessing new phenomena on the micron scale

One of the greatest challenges in multi-scale modeling is the physically-based treatment of defects and interfaces





Source: R. Kurtz *et al.*, *ReNeW* (Bethesda, 2009).

Transition from “observation & validation” to “prediction & control” is a central mission challenge AND the frontier of materials research

- Conquering “the micron frontier” is essential for solving transformational materials grand challenges
- MaRIE will provide unique capabilities
 - Simultaneous *in situ* imaging and scattering measurements
 - Accessing materials irradiation/damage extremes
 - Incubating materials discovery and solutions through control of defects and interfaces
- LANSCE is essential for MaRIE’s success
- Facility definition is being driven by community demand through validated performance gaps and functional requirements

MaRIE will be the first capability with unique co-located tools necessary to realize transformational advances in materials performance in extremes



Slide 42
PPPL Oct. 28, 2009

MaRIE: A comprehensive set of co-located tools to realize transformational advances in materials performance in extremes

First x-ray scattering capability at high energy and high repetition frequency with simultaneous charged particle dynamic imaging


- Multi-Probe Diagnostic Hall (MPDH)

Unique in-situ diagnostics and irradiation environments beyond best planned facilities

- Fission - Fusion Material Facility

Comprehensive, integrated resource for materials synthesis and control, with national security infrastructure

- Making, Measuring & Modeling Materials Facility (M4)




What does MaRIE success look like?


Radiation-tolerant materials by design

- Developing radiation resistant structural materials by design

e.g., Nanolayer architectures produce materials strength that exceeds theoretical “limits,” and also produce extreme radiation resistance by actively eliminating point defects



D9 irradiated to 2.1×10^{23} ($E > 0.1 \text{ MeV}$)*



HT9 irradiated to 1.9×10^{23} ($E > 0.1 \text{ MeV}$)*

* Makenas et al 1990


Ferritic/martensitic steels (e.g., HT9) are leading candidates for cladding, structural materials of fast breeder reactors and 1st walls & blankets in conceptual fusion reactor designs. They show resistance to void swelling and have adequate mechanical properties at elevated temperatures & expanded operating environments. However, our understanding of the atomic-level processes that control bulk behavior is substantially incomplete.

MaRIE Fission Fusion Materials Facility builds upon the LANSCE-R & MTS Projects


New capabilities from MaRIE:

- Linac 2x power upgrade (to 1.8 MW) enables IFMIF-class irradiation capability
- Multiprobe Diagnostic Hall enables unsurpassed *in situ* and near-*in situ* sample measurements
 - e.g., microstructure, voids, strain & swelling, corrosion layers, crack formation, creep & fatigue,
 - sample transport and hot cell infrastructure
- Near real-time materials characterization including post irradiation examination
- M4 Facility enables modeling, materials development, qualification, & characterization that translates discovery to solution


Frontiers of materials discovery:
Interface/structure manipulation
produces enhanced strength and
radiation resistance:



Nanolayer architectures produce
materials strength that exceeds
theoretical “limits”




Pure Cu



5 nm layer thickness Cu-Nb multilayer

Same structures produce
extreme radiation
resistance by actively
eliminating point defects.

MaRIE: In-situ diagnostic capability would enhance our understanding of radiation damage processes



Frontier experiments in MaRIE to explore radiation-induced processes

To enable frontier experiments in ...

Corrosion	Swelling	Structural integrity	Phase Stability	Thermal Transport
-----------	----------	----------------------	-----------------	-------------------

Requires *in-situ* measurement of e.g. ...

Corrosion <i>Growth rate</i> <i>Oxidation rate</i>	Void / Bubble <i>Total volume</i> <i>Nucleation</i> <i>Growth rate & size</i> <i>Spatial distribution</i>	Mech. properties <i>Creep strength</i> <i>Tensile strength</i> <i>Residual stress</i>	Phase <i>Composition</i> <i>Microstructure</i> Grain <i>Growth rate & size</i>	Thermo. properties <i>Heat capacity</i> <i>Conductivity</i> <i>Diffusivity</i>
layer <i>Thickness</i> <i>Composition</i> Fuel cladding <i>Interaction thickness</i>	Defects <i>Number</i> <i>Type</i> <i>Volume</i>	Cracks <i>Size</i> <i>Volume</i> <i>Shape</i>	Fission Product <i>Distribution</i> <i>Segregation</i> <i>Accumulation</i>	Temperature <i>Distributions</i>


One can consider a very wide range of techniques

In the laboratory environment




Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNSA


SANS / ASAXS



PAS



TEM




... etc

PPPL Oct. 29, 2009⁴⁷

UNCLASSIFIED


Conclusion: MaRIE can provide solutions to highest priority materials challenges for fusion energy

“Overcoming materials & structures challenges for first-wall, blanket & diverter systems is as difficult & important for fusion energy generation as achieving a burning plasma” - Kurtz & Odette (2009)



MaRIE provides an alternative to IFMIF with a US neutron irradiation facility, years earlier, with lower risk, at a fraction of the cost.


MaRIE enables transition from “observation” to “control,” transforming the science of microstructure, interfaces, & defects, leading to a new class of materials



MaRIE surpasses conventional “cook & look” approaches by providing science-based certification, e.g., in-situ characterization in extreme radiation environments


Users will design, synthesize, & qualify new radiation-resistant structural materials that avoid today’s show-stoppers:

- embrittlement
- phase instabilities
- segregation & precipitation
- irradiation creep & volumetric swelling



Interface/structure manipulation produces enhanced strength & radiation resistance; e.g., nanolayer architectures actively eliminate point defects, producing materials strength that exceeds theoretical “limits” with extreme radiation resistance.

MaRIE provides tools for transformational materials performance in extremes



Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNSA

UNCLASSIFIED

- 48 -

PPPL Oct. 29, 2009

Acknowledgments

Mark Bourke, John Erickson, Turab Lookman,
Stuart Maloy, Mike Nastasi, Eric Pitcher, Pete Prince,
John Sarrao, Kurt Schoenberg, Rich Sheffield,
Jack Shlachter, Marius Stan

Los Alamos National Laboratory

Charlie Baker, Mike Cappiello, John Hemminger,
Thom Mason, Steve Zinkle

Members of the MaRIE Advisory Board



Supplemental Information

LANSCCE facilities support many National missions and research needs

Research area	Needs/Drivers	Thrusts	Source
Materials and Bioscience	National Security Materials science Bioscience	Processing-structure-performance Fundamental properties Short/long range order Processing-structure-performance Superconductivity, Hydrogen storage.... Biotoxin mechanisms Protein function (location of Hydrogen) Self-assembly	Lujan
Nuclear Science	National Security Nuclear energy Astrophysics Other nuclear physics	Fission, capture: materials and diagnostics Fission, capture: advanced fuels Capture, nucleosynthesis processes Level densities	WNR Lujan
Materials Dynamics	National Security	High explosives, shock dynamics, material damage, implosion dynamics....RRW	pRad
Irradiation Response	National Security Advanced fuels Semiconductor upset	Sandia component qualification High power fuel irradiation testing Industry standard for testing, cosmic ray upset	WNR MTS WNR
Fundamental Science	Particle properties Beyond standard model	Ultracold neutron collaboration Neutron EDM...	UCN-b
Medical Isotopes	Medical therapy Medical, Physics research	Production for NE customers Short lived isotopes	IPF

Under update

NNSA, DOE/SC, DOE/NE and LANL Memorandum Of Understanding codifies LANSCE governance plan

- Established LANSCE as a national user facility supporting NNSA/DP, DOE/SC, and DOE/NE programs
- Gave NNSA responsibility for LANSCE facility stewardship to support core NNSA science programs and partner (DOE/SC, and DOE/NE) activities
- Delegated to LANL responsibility for executing all aspects of the MOU
- Established Executive Council to carry out integration role given to DP by the Deputy Secretary and to resolve issues between the partners

 **Department of Energy**
National Nuclear Security Administration
Washington, DC 20585

December 19, 2001

MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION

FROM: James L. Van Fleet
Director, Office of Defense Science, NA-113

SUBJECT: Memorandum of Understanding for the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center

Attached for your use is the final Memorandum of Understanding for the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center (LANSCE). It has been signed by all parties.


I expect that the next meeting of the Executive Council will be scheduled about the end of March or early April, after most of the LANSCE shutdown is complete. We will contact the participants for scheduling early next year.

Attachment

Distribution: RONALD J. HAECKEL, USAF, NA-10
Ralph Erickson, NA-50
James Decker, SC-1
William Magwood, NE-1
John Browne, LANL

cc: Tyler Przybylak, NA-3.1
Maureen McCarthy, NA-11
David Crandall, NA-11
Patricia Dehmer, SC-10
William Oosterhuis, SC-13
Owen Lowe, NE-70
Tom O'Connor, NE-70
Rebecca Smith, NE-40
Eric Schweitzer, NA-50

Bruce Scott, NA-52
Mike Kriesler, NA-113
Bharat Agrawal, NA-113.3
Larry Newkirk, NA-113.3
Ed Heighway, LANL
Ray Juzaitis, LANL
Maurice Katz, LANL
Paul Lisowski, LANL
Eugene Colton, LAAO



Date 11/6/01




Date 9/30/01



Date 9/30/01



Date 14/13/01



Date 10/12/01

Director of the Office of Nuclear Energy,
Science and Technology

Director
Los Alamos National Laboratory

LANSC-E-R Project CD-1 approved in Sept 2009

Department of Energy
National Nuclear Security Administration
Washington, DC 20585

September 28, 2009

MEMORANDUM FOR MANAGER, LOS ALAMOS SITE OFFICE

FROM: GARRETT HARENCAK, BRIG GEN, USAF 
PRINCIPAL ASSISTANT DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR
FOR MILITARY APPLICATION
OFFICE OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

SUBJECT: Approval of Critical Decision-1 (CD-1), Approval of Cost Range, for the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center Refurbishment (LANSC-E-R) Project at the Los Alamos National Laboratory

By this memorandum, I am approving Critical Decision-1 (CD-1) for the LANSC-E-R Project with the Total Project Cost (TPC) range of \$153 to \$201 million and schedule range of the fourth quarter fiscal year (FY) 2016 to the third quarter of FY 2018. The Total Estimated Cost (TEC) portion of the project shall not exceed \$149 million. The preliminary design activities will be executed with the FY 2009 appropriated project engineering design (PED) funds.

The LANSC-E-R Project will refurbish, repair, replace, and modernize equipment and major components of the Linear Accelerator (LINAC) to meet Defense Programs operating requirements for the next two decades. The refurbishments of the major LINAC components under LANSC-E-R include radio frequency power systems, integrated controls and diagnostics, and selected accelerator subsystems.

By this memorandum, I also approve Mr. Frank L. White as the Federal Project Director for the LANSC-E-R Project. Approvals of the Preliminary Project Execution Plan, Acquisition Strategy, revised Program Requirements Document, and revised Mission Need Statement will be provided prior to October 24.

If you have any questions regarding this project, please call me or have your staff contact Sheila Feddis at 202-586-0823.

cc:
T. Konopnicki, NA-50
P. Bosco, NA-50

 Printed with soy ink on recycled paper

"By this memorandum, I am approving Critical Decision 1 (CD-1) for the LANSC-E-R project with the Total Project Cost range of \$153M to \$201M and schedule range of the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2016 to the third quarter of FY2018. "

"The LANSC-E-R project will refurbish, repair, replace, and modernize equipment and major components of the Linear Accelerator (LINAC) to meet Defense Programs operating requirements for the next two decades."

Approval of CD-1 allows the project to tap \$19.3M of appropriated funds for further design activities

• LOS ALAMOS
NATIONAL LABORATORY

EST. 1943




Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNSA

UNCLASSIFIED

- 53 -


PPPL Oct. 29, 2009

At CD-1 the LANSCE-R scope, as modified to fit within the specified total project cost, represents an integrated set of work




Simultaneous installation and commissioning of project elements outlined in red is most cost-effective

Colored backgrounds indicate quasi-independent project elements where design, procurement and testing can be phased to support integrated installation and commissioning




LANSC-E-R Schedule



The project has worked planned for six annual outages, 2010 - 2015

The LANSCE facility has achieved good user program growth and will continuously operate during the LANSCE-R & FIRP projects



LANSCCE is at the top of its scientific game, producing key basic and programmatic science

- Capabilities support, and are adapted to, US national security and science missions
 - *National security research environment*
 - *Leveraging basic research investments*
- Interplay of basic and national security missions is unique and provides unique opportunities for innovation in basic and applied science
- Support of the User Group is essential to achieve operational excellence and to achieve both our near and long term objectives.



Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNSA

With LANSCE-R and other sponsor investments, LANSCE will continue to provide world-class scientific capabilities to address the complex challenges facing our Nation.

UNCLASSIFIED

- 57 -

June 3, 2009

The Honorable Jeff Bingaman
703 Hart Senate Office Bldg.
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Senator Bingaman:

As you work with Congress on the budget for the 2010 fiscal year, the Executive Committee of the Los Alamos Neutron Science Center (LANSCCE) User Group strongly requests that you advocate for funding of the LANSCCE Refurbishment Project. A healthy LANSCCE is critical to research at Los Alamos National Laboratory and the country.

The Executive Committee of the LANSCCE User Group is an elected body that represents scientists from academia, industry and national laboratories who use LANSCCE facilities. We are concerned that the LANSCCE Refurbishment Project has been targeted for termination in the President's 2010 Budget. The roughly \$150 million, five-year refurbishment program is necessary to extend the useful life of LANSCCE beyond the next decade. The budget language states, "LANSCCE is a linear accelerator that was built 30 years ago and no longer plays a critical role in weapons research." We believe this position fails to recognize many current aspects of LANSCCE and its full value to the country as a scientific research and development facility.

LANSCCE is an internationally recognized and unique multidisciplinary accelerator facility that executes a broad range of defense and civilian research. Examples include classified measurements for the U.S. weapons and nuclear energy program; support for the semiconductor industry in testing devices for avionics, computing, and medical applications; investigation of CO₂ sequestration materials; exploration of new energy resources; the development of environmentally acceptable engineering materials; and the advancement of biomedical science, all issues with high national priorities. Many of the instruments at LANSCCE are unique and not duplicated by other facilities in the United States. The value of LANSCCE to science is without question: LANSCCE has supported over 10,000 user visits in the last decade. Proposals submitted to LANSCCE outnumber available instrument time by as much as 3:1. Over 200 publications result each year from research conducted at LANSCCE.

LANSCCE is crucial in ensuring U.S. competitiveness and educating our next generation of scientists. The LANSCCE Refurbishment Project is an economically viable path for LANSCCE to sustain its vigorous and diversified user program and to further advance American science and technology in the coming decade.


On behalf of the users of LANSCCE, the Executive Committee urges you to help reverse the decision by the Administration. If more information is needed, please feel free to contact me at (813) 974-9164 or e-mail me at rtoomey@eng.usf.edu.

Thank you,



Ryan Toomey
Chair, Executive Committee LANSCCE User Group
Assistant Professor of Chemical Engineering, University of South Florida
rtoomey@eng.usf.edu

ct. 29, 2009

The Enhanced Lujan Center at LANSCE: A premier neutron scattering facility for national security research



- Full utilization of all 16 Lujan Flightpaths- 1000 user visits per year
- All instruments built or upgraded to perform at world-class standards
- Superb sample environments commensurate with world-class instrument capabilities
- Accommodates classified national security research and materials
- Optimized cold-moderator performance, crucial for the study of
 - Polymeric materials (HE, stockpile materials)
 - Soft & magnetic metals (Pu)
 - Interfaces (corrosion)
 - Glasses and phase separated materials
- Upgraded power operation 120 kW @ 20Hz



Recruiting Our Future Staff



PPPL Oct. 29, 2009

UNCLASSIFIED

The damage rates for the MTS approach those observed in IFMIF and are 3 times ITER


	appm He/FPY*	dpa/FPY*	He/dpa
ITER 1st wall	114	10.8	
IFMIF HFTM (ave over 500 cc)	319	25.6	12.5
MTS (ave over 400 cc)	266	24.9	10.7
IFMIF Li back wall	619	65.8	9.4
MTS (peak, fuel module)	393	33.9	11.6

*FPY = full power year; MTS expected operation is 4400 hrs per year.
Values for MTS assume 1 MW of beam power.



PPPL Oct. 29, 2009

LANL's materials strategy defines focus areas for materials-centric national security science consistent with these national drivers




To achieve our vision of Los Alamos as the National Security Laboratory of choice, we have identified three strategic thrusts within “**Science that Matters**”:

Information science and technology enabling predictive science,


Experimental science focused on materials for the future, and

Fundamental forensic science for nuclear, biological, and chemical threats.



MaRIE addresses decadal research frontiers and challenges of critical importance to Los Alamos' national security missions

LANL Mission



National/Global Energy Challenge


- Close the 10 TW Gap between the energy we have and the energy we need: From fission & solar to fusion

Materials Matter!

Material Requirements Central to National Grand Challenges

Materials Recognized as a Core LANL Capability

The transition from “observation & validation” to “prediction & control” is a central mission challenge *and* the frontier of materials research



Nuclear weapons program challenges

- Majority of stockpile issues have been and will likely continue to be materials based
 - Microstructure matters
 - cast/wrought, weld, special material
- Future stockpile manufacturing and certification requires a “process aware” understanding of materials
 - Materials compatibility/substitution
 - 9 of top 11 NM RRW technical risks materials-related

Dynamic processes dominate and are poorly understood today

Experimental capabilities to validate multi-scale models, especially on the meso-scale, are needed




MaRIE will be the first capability with unique co-located tools necessary to realize transformational advances in materials performance in extremes

FILED OCT 29, 2009

Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNSA

UNCLASSIFIED

MaRIE: Integration is key – integrated facility capabilities and gateway to broader LANL




Integrated Solid State Solutions

- Materials with process aware controlled microstructure
- New radiation hard materials (self healing materials)
- Next generation photovoltaics/Advanced radiation detectors

MaRIE bridges the “micron gap”

- $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$ scale represents an experimental and theoretical frontier
- Interface between scattering & imaging
- Crossover from continuum to atomic scale models
- Nexus of discovery science & predictive validation
- **Explicit focus on dynamic ($\sim \text{ns/ps}$), stochastic processes requiring simultaneous measurements**



MaRIE provides unique capabilities for unraveling and controlling micron-scale interactions

- Translat

emergent functionality to device
realization / *interface phenomena*



Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNSA

PPPL Oct. 29, 2009


UNCLASSIFIED

MaRIE builds upon existing LANL strengths

- \$1.5 B proton accelerator (1 MW, 800 MeV; with significant refurbishments) with unique proton radiography and irradiation capabilities
- Proven ability to operate materials-centric National User Facilities (Lujan, CINT, NHMFL)
- Legacy of leadership in materials discovery to component manufacturing
- Peta-scale simulations (Road Runner)



Los Alamos Neutron Science Center (LANSCE)



**Lujan Neutron Scattering Center
Experimental Room #2 Layout**



Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNSA



**Center for Integrated
Nanotechnologies (CINT)**



**National High Magnetic
Field Laboratory (NHMFL)
100-T Magnet Facility**

PPPL Oct. 29, 2009

UNCLASSIFIED

Decadal Alternatives: MaRIE Fission-Fusion Materials Facility

■ High-Power (> 200-kW) Spallation Sources

- SINQ (Paul Scherrer Institute)
- Spallation Neutron Source (ORNL)
- Transmutation Experimental Facility (Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex)
- European Spallation Source (ESS)

■ Accelerator Sources

- IFMIF
- MYRRHA or XT-ADS facility (SCK-CEN)

■ D-T Fusion Concepts

- Gas Dynamic Trap Mirror Neutron Source (Budker & LLNL)
- Component Test Facility Concepts
 - Volume Neutron Source (ORNL)
 - Fusion Development Facility (GA)
- Laser Inertial Fusion Engine (LLNL)

■ Fast Reactors

- Joyo (Japan)
- Monj (Japan)
- BOR-60 (Russia)
- BN-600 (Russia)
- CEFR (China)

■ Thermal Reactors with in-pile instrumentation

- ATR (INL)
- HFIR (ORNL)
- Halden Boiling Water Reactor (Norway)
- Jules Horowitz reactor (France)

■ Triple Ion Beams


- ORNL EFRC (w/LCLS)
- JANNuS (France)
- LLNL

Decadal Alternatives: MaRIE Fission-Fusion Materials Facility

				In-Situ Capability										Irradiation Environment									
				Temperature	Macroscopic dimensions	Force (stress)	Displacement (strain)	Cracks in steels	Cracks in fuel	Bubbles / Voids	Phase	Defects	Irrad. volume: 0.5 liter with >14 dpa/year	Flux gradient < 2% per mm	PKA spectrum like fusion first wall	Peak damage rate > 35 dpa/year	Fast / thermal neutron flux ratio > 10,000	Helium to dpa ratio ~ 0.2 (fast reactor)	Helium to dpa ratio ~ 10 (fusion first wall)	Facility available within 10 years			
SPALLATION																							
Spallation Sources	SINQ	CH	1996	1.1 MW spallation source																			
	SNS	USA	2006	1.4 MW spallation source																			
	TEF @ J-PARC	JAPAN	~2015	200 kW spallation source with blanket																			
	ESS	SWEDEN	~2018	5 MW spallation source																			
ADS																							
Accelerator Sources	MYRRHA	BELGIUM	~2018	2.4 MW proton beam driving an 80-MW blanket																			
	LIGHT ION																						
IFMIF	TBD	~2019	40-MeV, 10-MW D beam on Li target																				
FUSION																							
D-T Fusion Facilities	ITER	FRANCE	2025	500 MW Tokamak																			
	FDF	TBD	TBD	150-250MW Tokamak																			
	VNS-CTF	TBD	TBD	75 MW Tokamak																			
	DTNS	TBD	TBD	Gas Dynamic Trap/Magnetic Mirror																			
THERMAL REACTOR																							
Reactors	Thermal Reactors	MANY	1957 – 1967	20 – 250 MW																			
	FAST REACTOR																						
Fast Reactors	SEVERAL	1968 – 2005	55 – 1470 MW																				
ION BEAM																							
Ion Beams	TRIPLE-BEAM			Triple ion beam with SEM at a light source																			
SPALLATION																							
MaRIE	MaRIE-FFF	USA		1.8 MW Spallation source																			

MaRIE Acquisition Strategy Primary Planning

Scenario: DP leadership is key



- 1) *Execute LANSCE-R + MTS + Enhanced Lujan Projects*
- 2) *Define Facility*
- 3) *Deliver MaRIE Science*