The US should emphasize development of RF actuators for steady-state (SS) scenarios— a critical issue for achieving SS in ITER, FNSF and beyond.

Exciting opportunities exist for the US to lead in innovative research aimed at developing reactor relevant RF actuators which will enable realization of driven SS tokamaks.
The 2007 FESAC report identified two major Gaps relevant to this presentation which “will remain even after current programs complete their research”

**Gap G-2.** Demonstration of integrated, steady-state, high-performance (advanced) burning plasmas, including first wall and divertor interactions.

**Gap G-7.** Integrated understanding of RF launching structures and wave coupling for scenarios suitable for DEMO (& FNSF) and compatible with the nuclear and plasma environment.

Neutral Beam Injection has been the workhorse for AT development. However, NBI does not extrapolate well for reactors:

- Extension of radio nuclide confinement boundary
- Neutron streaming
- Reduction in tritium breeding ratio (TBR) due to port penetrations
- Feasibility and cost of >1 MeV steady-state beam development
- Steady-state lifetime and reliability

Consistent with a number of reactor studies (e.g., the ARIES series) FESAC also recognized that neutral beams are not suitable for reactors, concluding that:

“RF schemes are the most likely systems to be used and will require significant research to achieve the level of reliability and predictability that are required.”
Heating and Current Drive methods are linked to field: higher field → improved plasma performance, higher power density, more reactor compatible launchers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCD Method</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>ITER (~5 T)</th>
<th>CD Efficiency $\eta = n_{20}IR/P$</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Cyclotron (ICRF, FW)</td>
<td>$\omega \sim \omega_{ci}$</td>
<td>50 MHz</td>
<td>0.3-0.4 in core</td>
<td>Excellent heater; Core CD needs development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA for off-axis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Hybrid Fast Wave (HFFW, HHFW, Helicon, …..)</td>
<td>$\omega &lt; \sqrt{\omega_{ci}\omega_{ce}}$</td>
<td>1 GHz</td>
<td>0.3-0.4 Suitable for off-axis CD</td>
<td>Needs development. Reactor compatible launcher possible at high field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Hybrid Slow Wave</td>
<td>$\omega &gt; \sqrt{\omega_{ci}\omega_{ce}}$</td>
<td>5 GHz</td>
<td>0.3-0.4 Suitable for off-axis CD</td>
<td>Waveguide launcher, reactor compatible. RF CD method of choice in tokamaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron Cyclotron</td>
<td>$\omega \sim \omega_{ce}$</td>
<td>170 GHz</td>
<td>0.3 near core</td>
<td>mm wave technology Launcher is reactor compatible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron Bernstein Wave</td>
<td>$\omega \sim \omega_{ce}$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>??</td>
<td>Needs development. May be applicable for startup and sustainment of STs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Heating and Current Drive methods are linked to field: higher field → improved plasma performance, higher power density, more reactor compatible launchers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCD Method</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>ITER (~5 T)</th>
<th>CD Efficiency $\eta = n_{20}IR/P$</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion Cyclotron (ICRF, FW)</td>
<td>$\omega \sim \omega_{ci}$</td>
<td>50 MHz</td>
<td>0.3-0.4 in core</td>
<td>Excellent heater; Core CD needs development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA for off-axis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Hybrid Fast Wave (HFFW, HHFW, Helicon, …..)</td>
<td>$\omega &lt; \sqrt{\omega_{ci}\omega_{ce}}$</td>
<td>1 GHz</td>
<td>0.3-0.4 Suitable for off-axis CD</td>
<td>Needs development. Reactor compatible launcher possible at high field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Hybrid Slow Wave</td>
<td>$\omega &gt; \sqrt{\omega_{ci}\omega_{ce}}$</td>
<td>5 GHz</td>
<td>0.3-0.4 Suitable for off-axis CD</td>
<td>Waveguide launcher, reactor compatible. RF CD method of choice in tokamaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron Cyclotron</td>
<td>$\omega \sim \omega_{ce}$</td>
<td>170 GHz</td>
<td>0.3 near core Lower for off-axis</td>
<td>mm wave technology Launcher is reactor compatible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron Bernstein Wave</td>
<td>$\omega \sim \omega_{ce}$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>??</td>
<td>Needs development. May be applicable for startup and sustainment of STs</td>
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Current drive by the slow lower hybrid wave is the most efficient and well developed RF off-axis current drive method

C-Mod Lower Hybrid Experiment:

- Waveguide grill, adaptable for reactors
- 0.5 MA driven, $\bar{n} = 0.5 \times 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, $P \approx 1$ MW, several current redistribution times
- High engineering CD efficiency:
  \[ \eta = \frac{n_{20}IR}{P} = 0.2 - 0.4 \text{ A} \cdot \text{m/W} \]
- But efficiency degrades for $\bar{n} \gtrsim 1 \times 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$

C-Mod example of current density and flat shear profiles in full non-inductive LHCD plasma, in line with AT scenarios.
At high density, $\bar{n} \gtrsim 1 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$, LHCD efficiency drops rapidly due to interactions in the inner SOL.
At high density, $\bar{n} \gtrsim 1 \times 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, LHCD efficiency drops rapidly due to interactions in the inner SOL.

Collisional absorption

$n_\parallel$ upshift

Parametric Instability

LH Frequency Spectrum

Sidebands displaced from pump by harmonics of cyclotron frequency at edge.

The solution is to enhance “single pass” absorption. This can be done by:

- Increasing $T_e$ (some success has been achieved in C-Mod with mode conversion heated helium plasmas.)

- Moving the launcher off the midplane to take advantage of a toroidal effect which causes an upshift of $n_\parallel$ and increases Landau damping.

Optimizing location of LH launcher can substantially improve efficiency and $j(r)$ control.

This is the basis for an upgrade of the C-Mod LH system, referred to as “LH3”, and the proposal to mount LHCD antennas on the inner wall in ADX and ARC.
Combined with the present launcher, LH3 would double the LHCD power to the plasma (1 \( \rightarrow \) 2 MW), enable physics-rich synergy between the two launchers, and test efficacy of off mid-plane launcher location: higher efficiency, flexible \( j(r) \) control

- 800 k\$ and 1 year from “go ahead” required to fabricate launcher and initiate experiments
- Project is on hold, pending FES decision on whether to proceed.
- LH3 simulations predict full non-inductive regimes feasible with \( f_{BS} > 50\% \) in C-Mod

Completing the LH program on C-Mod would build confidence in LHCD physics understanding and simulations, and verify advantage of off mid-plane launch
Moving a lower hybrid coupler off the midplane has led to new possibilities for LHCD: Inside Launch!

Advantages of inside launch:

**Higher field:** Increases the window for accessibility and high efficiency:

\[
\sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega_{ce}^2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}}{\omega_{ce}} < n_\parallel < \sqrt{\frac{30}{T_e(keV)}}}
\]

Inside launch also eliminates need for port-mounted systems in FNSF or pilot plant.

In addition:

**Quiescent edge plasmas:** Weaker PMI issues, better coupling, excellent impurity screening, minimal turbulent scattering.
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  Inside launch also eliminates need for port-mounted systems in FNSF or pilot plant.

- **In addition**: Quiescent edge plasmas: Weaker PMI issues, better coupling, excellent impurity screening, minimal turbulent scattering.

Inside launch is a “win-win” concept:

Higher field improves wave physics, increasing window for accessibility and high efficiency, plus

A Quiescent edge! Gap G-7
As an example of high field advantage, inside launch for lower hybrid slow wave opens window for current drive in FNSF-AT

- The FNSF-AT is a moderate field (~ 5.5 T) tokamak designed by GA as a platform for a Fusion Nuclear Science Facility (FNSF)
- The window (shown in green) for slow lower hybrid wave penetration is defined by the onset of Landau damping and the wave accessibility limit:
  - The window is significantly larger for inside launch and allows lower $n_\parallel$ to penetrate, which improves efficiency since $\eta \sim \frac{1}{n_\parallel^2}$.
  - The larger window also allows more freedom in control of J(r)

In this example, off axis LHCD current drive region extends for $0.85 < r/a < 0.95$ to $0.65 < r/a < 0.95$ and $\eta$ increases by 40%
But is inside launch practical?

Yes, if integrated into machine design!

A conceptual design has been developed for ADX (see next talk by Brian LaBombard)

Splitter and multi-junction C-Mod and Tore-Supra fabrication techniques produce compact LHCD launchers that can fit on the inside wall.

- Strong single pass absorption
- Low $n_\parallel$ launch, takes advantage of high field and geometric upshift
- High efficiency
- Benefits of quiescent edge plasma

Below midplane launch optimizes wave penetration and absorption

$n_\parallel$=1.6, Equilibrium from Alcator C-Mod I-mode
What about ICRF?

Inside launch provides direct access to mode conversion (FW → IBW) layer, e.g., 50-50 D-T heating in reactor.

Reduced production of energetic ion tails and impact on antenna structures.

Same great PMI benefits:

- Kinder, gentler SOL
- Excellent impurity screening
- Lower neutral pressure

TORIC simulation: B = 5.4 tesla, f = 80 MHz, 15% H in D, n_φ = -10, 40% to electrons, 30% to H 1st harmonic and 30% to D 2nd harmonic.
Summary

Development of RF actuators for steady-state tokamak regimes is critical to prepare for proceeding with FNSF/Pilot Plant and DEMO designs.

Completion of the C-Mod Lower Hybrid experiment would confirm understanding of a critical issue in LHCD physics and verify the advantages of off-midplane launch.

Moving LH and ICRF launchers to the inside wall has huge potential “win-win” regarding wave physics and performance, and minimizing PMI issues (Gap 7).

Developing inside launch requires a purpose-built device. The ADX concept discussed in the next talk would provide an excellent platform for developing integrated steady-state scenarios driven by RF actuators.
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Completion of the C-Mod Lower Hybrid experiment would confirm understanding of a critical issue in LHCD physics and verify the advantages of off-midplane launch.

Moving LH and ICRF launchers to the inside wall has huge potential “win-win” regarding wave physics and performance, and minimizing PMI issues (Gap 7).

Developing inside launch requires a purpose-built device. The ADX concept discussed in the next talk would provide an excellent platform for developing integrated steady-state scenarios driven by RF actuators.

A coherent plan toward a high field FNSF/Pilot Plant:

C- Mod Lower Hybrid Physics | ADX Integrated RF SS Scenario | ARC FNSF/Pilot Plant
What benefits accrue to other RF schemes from Inside Launch (in addition to quieter SOL)?

Fast lower hybrid wave \( \omega < \sqrt{\omega_{ci} \omega_{ce}} \)

Higher field at inner wall permits reactor relevant waveguide transmission and launchers, for example slot-loaded† or slow-wave waveguides.

ECH/EBW

Inside X-mode launch permits direct access to upper hybrid resonance (for frequencies less than the maximum value of the right cutoff) and conversion to EBW – May be useful for ST startup.

Example of direct access to UH layer and EBW mode conversion @ \( n \approx 2 \times 10^{19} \) m\(^{-3}\) in NSTX-U. Densities up to \( 7 \times 10^{20} \) m\(^{-3}\) are possible at higher frequency, and higher \( n \) is possible with higher B.

A quick look suggests that the Helicon could be effective in NSTX-U

- $f = 100 \text{ MHz}$
- $n_\parallel = 2 - 4$
- $B_T = 1 \text{ T}$
- $n_{20}(0) \sim 1$
- $T_e(0) \sim 1.6 \text{ keV}$
LH3 simulations show regime with fBS > 50% could be realized.