

Summary of the D&D Engineering Operations

Keith Rule

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

Project Attributes

- Schedule: October 1999 through September 2002
 - Original Estimated Project Cost: \$40.3M
 - Project Cost at Completion: \$36.8M
- Objectives:
 - Remove activated and/or contaminated components from the TFTR Test Cell and adjacent support areas.
 - Provide data for the decommissioning of future fusion projects.
- Scope
 - 2398 cubic meters of low level radioactive waste.
 - 1995 metric tons
 - 440 standard containers (IP-1, ~3 m³ volume)
 - 72 specific design containers (Type A, 22 m³ to \sim 42 m³)
 - Dose rate 50 mrem/hr (0.5mGy) contact with vacuum vessel
 - Approx. 10,000 curies (370 TBq) of tritium disposed

TFTR - 3 year D&D









Radiation Protection Facts

- "0" Zero reportable occurrence reports due to health physics related issues based on regulatory requirements.
- < 100 mRem (1 mGy) combined dose from internal exposure due to tritium for all HP technicians and workers monitored for D&D operations
- Maximum single individual external dose of 573 mRem (5.73 mGy) attributed to the sheer compression panel removals.
- "0" Zero detectible internal exposures due to activated metals.
- More than 150,000 individual liquid scintillation vial samples analyzed.
- Just over 530 Ci (19.6 TBq) of tritium total for the site released up the stack.
- 1.4 mrem (0.014 mGy) maximum cumulative off-site EDE.

Conduct of Operations

- Worker safety highest priority
- Protecting environment from tritium release
- Very different set of hazards than TFTR operations
- Centralized control of work activities
- Procedure compliance mandatory
- Clear responsibility and accountability

Conduct of Operations



Work Planning Process

- Safety for workers and environment
 - Reduce exposure to hazards including radioactivity
- Three step process for worker protection
 - Engineering controls
 - Administrative controls procedures, work planning
 - Personnel Protective Equipment
- Engineering
 - Define work scope
 - Conduct Peer Reviews and Design Reviews
 - Prepare installation/removal procedure
 - Define prerequisites for field work
 - Update or void drawings after removal is complete

Work Package Process

Work Control Center

- Review Engineering Package for completeness
- Ensure prerequisites are completed prior to issuing package for work
- Arrange for all permits
- Release work package to field per schedule
- Arrange for resolution of field problems
- Return completed work package to Engineering for drawing update/voiding

Construction

- Verify and/or perform safing and lockout/tagout per PPPL procedures as required
- Perform field work per procedure
- Return completed work package to Work Control Center

Radioactive Waste – Integrated to Schedule

- Information integrated into Master Schedule
- Volume, containers, shipments, and cost.
- Any change to schedule reflects impact to waste management



VV Segment Container



Characterization

- In-Situ Object Counting System -Portable Gamma Spec system
- Liquids sample and analyze
- Surface contamination measurements
- Radioactivity values for major components – Code calculations L.P. Ku





Hanford (DOE) – Washington State • Lengthy approval process to ship each load. Waste is routinely analyzed at Hanford • Actual costs averaged to \$22/ft³ (surcharges) Nevada Test Site (DOE) Lengthy approval process to become an approved generator. ~ 1.5 years Emphasis is placed on procedures and generator documentation Cost - \$6/ft³, no surcharges

Final Analysis



Waste Packaging – Container Design

- Standard Containers
 6 & 8 feet long
- Weight capacity 10,000 pounds
- Closure types clip down and bolt down
- Use sealants and bolt down lid for tritium



TFTR Vacuum Vessel



 Eliminates vapors and fumes associated with burning techniques.

 Keeps workers further away from hazards.

Proven to be the least time consuming.



- VV filled with lowdensity concrete.
- Reduced T2 emissions.
- Lower dose rate.
- Cutting rate greatly increased.
- VV segmenting duration shortened.
- Personnel exposure to hazard from enclosure entries minimized.







October 1999

November 2000

April 2002

Summer 2001

Conclusion

 TFTR D&D was a very successful project for the fusion community.

- Management Work Planning and control.
- Engineering- Diamond wire cutting
- Radiological low exposures, workers and environment
- Performance
 - R&D with existing technology
 Involve peers and hands-on techs
 - Take the time to find technology
 - Learn from others
 - Train, practice, mock-ups

Project Management

 Cost - Performance Measurement System employed utilizing earned value techniques
 Schedule - PC based "Primavera" System

- 1.0 Engineering
- 2.0 Field Operations
- 3.0 Project Management
 - 3.1 Project Office
 - **3.2** Work Control Center
 - **3.3** Configuration and Document Control
- 4.0 Health Physics and Safety
- **5.0** Radioactive Waste Management

•Upper and lower pulley is mounted on adjustable gear rack. Pulley is re-positioned to ensure that wire does not bend sharply around edge of cut.

•Camera provided real-time visual feedback to DWC saw operator for accurate positioning of pulleys.

Champion Cutting Tool

Plunging blade
Inherently safe
Multiple endeffectors

Wachs Clamshell Cutter

15 Models for ½" to 36" pipe Machine splits for quick mount Pneumatic motor drive auto feed Cuts carbon, stainless, and harder alloys

Wachs Guillotine Saw

Used to cut copper coils and umbrella structure 4 models available 2" to 24" diameter Chain pipe vise attachment Pneumatic driven Auto and manual feed control

Waste Packaging – Filling voids

Low density concrete

- contains tritium and other contamination
- 30 50 pounds per cubic foot
- Provides shielding
- Exceeds all disposal facility criteria

Waste Packaging – Special Containers

- Identify package needs with engineering.
- Account for disassembly techniques
 Plan for voids and material to fill voids
 Incorporate weight of void fillers into design.
- Give yourself contingency
 - weight capacity
 - interior dimension