IFE Target Fabrication, Delivery, and Cost Estimates

N. B. Alexander, L. Brown, D. Callahan, P. Ebey, D. Frey, R. Gallix, D. A. Geller, C. Gibson, J. Hoffer, J. Karnes, J. Maxwell, A. Nikroo, A. Nobile, C. Olson, N. Petta, R. Petzoldt, R. Raffray, W. Rickman, G. Rochau, D. Schroen, J. Sethian, J. D. Sheliak, J. Streit, M. Tillack, E.I. Valmianski

Presented by Dan Goodin

at the

Fusion Power Associates Annual Meeting And Symposium Oak Ridge, Tennessee December 4-5, 2007















Main messages and conclusions of this talk.....

- 1. IFE target technology builds upon the larger ICF program
 - Not starting from "zero" for IFE
 - Large effort for NIC fosters efficiency (e.g., foam shells)
- 2. The recent IFE target technology ("mass production") work has been on laser fusion
 - High Average Power Laser (HAPL) program
 - Heavy Ion Fusion and Z-pinch targets briefly noted briefly here

3. For laser fusion:

- All process steps identified; suitable for mass production
- Ongoing work = near-term laboratory <u>demonstration</u> of feasibility for each step

Good progress has been made on these laser fusion demonstration programs....



Target development is an essential component of any inertial fusion concept...

- Three main IFE concepts
 - Strong synergism but key differences that lead to specific technologies



Top level target technology requirements

Basic requirements

- Supply about 500,000 targets per day for a ~1000 MW(e) laser fusion or HIF power plant (~88,000 for ZFE at 0.1 Hz, 10 chambers)
- Do it cheaply, each laser fusion/HIF target has an energy value of about \$3.00 (\$22.50 for ZFE)

Specific target requirements have been defined to varying degrees...



An initial cost analysis has been done for an "nth-of-a-kind" IFE target manufacturing

- Major "paradigm shift" from current day
- Installed capital ~\$97M, annual operating \$19M → est'd 16.6 cents/target



Goodin, D.T., et al, "A cost-effective target supply for inertial fusion energy", Nuclear Fusion 44 (2004).



Outline of processes for the HAPL target supply



1) We can make the HAPL foam capsule (divinyl benzene)

• Systematic, parametric studies have led to ability to control capsule parameters (material, OD, wall thickness, sphericity, density.....)



Do we meet foam capsule requirements? divinyl benzene (DVB) and resorcinol formaldehyde (RF)

Attribute	Value	Toleranc e	DVB	RF	Comments
Diameter	4.6 mm	± 0.2	Pass 0.025 mm range	Pass ± 0.06 mm range	Real-time feedback control demonstrated
Wall thickness	180 μm	± 20	Pass (± 20 um)	In progress	RF is a more recent development
Density	20-120 mg/cc	[25%]	Pass (97± 5 mg/cc)	Pass	
Pore size	<3 μm		Pass (~1 um)	Pass (~0.01 um)	Based on SEM
Out of round	<1 % of radius		Pass (<0.3 %)	Borderline (1% average)	Measured on dry foam shells
Non- concentricity	< 1-3% of wall th.		Pass (~60% of shells <3% NC)	In Progress (10% of shells <3% NC	Improving
Areal density	< 0.3%	Modes 100 to 500	In progress	In progress	Contact radiography to determine density variation.



2) It's the overcoat ...

(it must be gastight as well as have a "smooth" surface finish)

Potential pathways for overcoat:

- 1. Interfacial reaction
- 2. Direct (GDP) coating (with smaller-pore RF)
- 3. 2-step process w/ interfacial plus a GDP coat



(PVP/GDP), Interfacial layer to cover pores, GDP to seal....



2) A current focus is on the overcoat...

 D_2 testing, leak rate measured with

•

Leak Mechanisms:

20- ع

-20 -22 mile//m/1/m//m//

150 200 250 000

Time (secor

Good – permeation leak only



The shells are tested to be "gas tight" and can survive cryo cooling and warming cycle



150

Overcoated R/F foam shells are also smoother than coated DVB shells



3) Mass production layering experiment is being brought online ...

- Static controlled
- Scoping tests show randomization
- Initial cryostat cooldowns to ~ 11K
- Method to "grab" one shell for characterization has been done at cryogenic conditions







Cryocoolers

Cryogenic circulator

Helium Compressors





(4) Target injection has several acceleration options ...



Magnetic diversion - reduces gas in chamber and heating and give more options 1. "Mechanical" (~50-100 m/s)

2. EM "Slingshot" (~60-85 m/s)



5) Tracking and alignment concepts identified and demonstrations underway

- + Requirement is alignment of lasers and target to 20 μm
- System using lasers, optics and fast steering mirror
- Also "glint" from target ~1 ms before the shot aligns optical train (target itself is the reference point)









Scaled experiment, velocity ~ 5 m/s Accuracy of hitting "on-thefly" is ~125 microns now (1σ) Working toward 20 micron goal for demo





Summary and conclusions

- 1. IFE target technology is leveraging the ICF program to maximum extent possible
- 2. Target supply scenarios have been identified for the laser fusion target supply
- 3. The HAPL program emphasis is on near-term laboratory demonstrations of feasibility



Backup slides



To the future - integration of cryogenics w/ injector





ZFE target conceptual design allows an initial cost comparison for all three concepts



Goodin, D.T., et al, "A cost-effective target supply for inertial fusion energy", <u>Nuclear Fusion 44</u> (2004), S254-265.



HIF - laser-assisted chemical vapor deposition (LCVD) to manufacture the HIF hohlraum

- Low-density, high-Z only materials needed
- Proposed concept micro-engineered matl's
 - Build from "inside out", avoid machining and handling low-density foam



Arrays demo'd via Diffractive Optics; enables low-density blocks and engineered foams.



Goodin, D.T., et al, "Progress in Heavy Ion Driven Target Fabrication and Injection", Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, A, Vol 544, 2005, 34-41,

Maxwell, James, et.al., A Process-Structure Map for Diamond-like Carbon Fibers from 1-Ethene at Hyperbaric Pressures, Advanced Functional Matl's, 15, 7, 2005, 1077-1087.



IFE are being developed



.... Design concepts have been prepared indicating time frames for cryogenic target assembly and handling are feasible



Estimates for indirect drive (HIF) target production costs

- Production rate ~500,000 targets/day 1000 MW(e) 1) plant
- 2) Pb/Hf (70:30) is high Z material (single use)
- 3) Installed capital cost ~ \$304M (\$38 M annualized cost)
- 4) Annual materials and utilities ~\$11M
- Annual maintenance costs (labor and materials) 5) ~S18M

Cryo-

Assembly

Injector

То

Chamber

6) Annual operating labor costs ~\$10 M

Assumptions:

- 1) R&D programs done
- 2) Major "paradigm shift" from current targets - no first-of-a-kind costs, statistical characterization, increased yield, larger batch sizes
- 3) "nth-of-a-kind" plant, standard engineering cost factors

TFF layout for capsule, filling, layering, injection



Lavering

(Fluidized Bed)



LCVD systems are major capital cost



2) How well are we meeting the overcoat specs...?

Attribute	Value	Tolerance	DVB	RF	Comments
Coating composition	CHNO		PVP/GDP (CHO)	GDP (CH)	N, O now acceptable
Coating Thickness	<5-10 μm	+/- (30 – 300) nm	+/- 2 μm	+/- 2 μm	
Power spectrum (surface finish)	<50 nm		> 500 nm	Getting close (50 - 200 nm)	
Permeability (gas tight) and yield	TBD		Fail at 10 um	Fail at 10um, some good at > 20 um	For current techniques require ~20 um thickness, working to minimize
Strength (for filling)	TBD			> 2 atm	For a >20 um thick coating

These specs are evolving as more simulations are done by the designers



The DVB capsule meets the sphericity specification, but RF still requires work

• The yield of RF shells that meet the 1% of radius Out-of-Round (OOR) specification is 70%



OOR = (max radius – min radius)



A possible fix for this is to increase the interfacial tension of the RF system before curing



Currently DVB shells have a better yield of shells that meet the wall uniformity specification

• Uniformity defined in terms Nonconcentricity (NC)



DVB is better for the NC specification (at the moment)

