

PHYSICS OF BURNING PLASMAS:

PHYSICS INACCESSIBLE TO PRESENT FACILITIES

**FIRE Physics Workshop
May 2000**

**F. Perkins and N. Sauthoff
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory**



OUTLINE

- **Introduction**
- **Three Classes of Burning Plasma Physics inaccessible to contemporary tokamak facilities**
 1. **Effects of energetic α -particles**
 2. **Self-heating**
 3. **Physics of reactor-scale plasmas**
- **Examples of inaccessible burning plasma physics**
- **Conclusions**

INTRODUCTION

- A fusion reactor confining a burning plasma is the ultimate goal of the fusion energy sciences program.

- Design of a reactor must rest on robust experimental demonstrations of its physics basis and operational scenarios.

- Three questions arise:

1. How do we extrapolate the physics processes dominant in contemporary tokamaks to a reactor-scale facility?

2. *What new physics will be encountered in a reactor?*

3. What capabilities do candidate burning plasma experiments have to address both extrapolations and these new physics processes?

- This talk addresses Question 2: What must we learn from burning plasma experiments ?

THREE ELEMENTS OF BURNING PLASMA PHYSICS

1. Energetic Particle Physics arising from 3.5 Mev α -particles

- **Heats electrons; eliminates hot ion modes relying on $T_i \gg T_e$.**
- **Drives Alfvén Eigenmodes towards instability.**
- **Interacts with $(m,n) = (1,1)$ modes - sawteeth & fishbones**

2. Self-Heating and Thermal stability

- **Interacts with core and edge transport barriers - thermal stability**
- **Self-consistency of steady-state plasmas**
- **Simulation in Upgrades via auxiliary heating power controlled by DD neutrons ?**

3. Reactor-scale physics — sets many design requirements

- **Near balance of α -particle heating with transport loss determines device scale**
- **Scale affects balance of many processes with diverse scalings**

**PHYSICS INACCESSABLE TO PRESENT FACILITIES:
 α -PARTICLES AND SELF-HEATING / THERMAL STABILITY**

1. Energetic Particle physics is affected by scale: $\tau_E \gg \tau_s$.

- **Smaller driving source at reactor scale** $\frac{n_{fast}}{n} = \left(\frac{3T}{E_{fast}} \right) \left(\frac{s}{E} \right)$
- **TAE mode numbers are higher $n \approx 10$; turbulent modes??**

2. Self-heating and thermal stability

- **Energy confinement scaling results in relation for fusion power produced by an ignited, thermally-stable plasma**

$$P_{fus} \propto n^3 (H_H)^7 d^{3.5} \quad d = n_T n_D / n_e^2$$

- **Fusion power controlled by density but very sensitive to confinement multiplier**
- **How fast can core density and, transport barriers change?**

PHYSICS INACCESSABLE TO PRESENT FACILITIES: DISRUPTIONS AND β -LIMIT

3. Disruptions lead to vaporization of divertor chamber and runaways

- Thermal quench energy impulse W/aR factor-of-15 larger than JET
- Large source of impurities for subsequent current quench stage
- Runaway avalanche growth e-foldings $I_p \left(I_{\text{Alfven}} \ell_n \right)^{-1} > 25$.

4. Operational β -limit:

- Controlled by Neoclassical Tearing Modes (inductive tokamak)?
- In a reactor time scales are long
 - Effectiveness of ECCD control of NTMs on ~ 50 s growth time
 - Sawteeth (and hence seed islands) are infrequent ~ 100 s

PHYSICS INACCESSIBLE TO PRESENT FACILITIES: CONFINEMENT ISSUES

5. Core confinement scaling

- **Value of ρ^* is a factor-of-5 less than JET**
- **Will "almost gyroBohm" scaling continue to hold?**
- **Relative importance of "core" versus "pedestal" energy content**

6. H-mode power threshold

- **Factor-of-2 uncertainty for reactor-scale devices**
- **Data scatter prevents present devices from determining size-scaling**
- **Theory presently unable to predict size scaling**
- **Investigation in a reactor-scale plasma is needed.**

PHYSICS INACCESSABLE TO PRESENT FACILITIES: CORE-EDGE INTEGRATION: POWER AND PARTICLE CONTROL

7. Power dispersal:

- **Present tokamak discharges with ITER β , ν^* have attached divertors**
- **Integrated demonstration of detached divertors with core confinement at reactor-like β, ν^* not possible**
- **For a reactor, higher T_e just inside SOL inhibits MARFES**
- **Predictive 2D divertor simulations need perpendicular diffusivity**

8. Core fuelling by inside pellet launch combined with high baffling of a reactor-scale facility controls main chamber neutral pressure

PHYSICS INACCESSABLE TO PRESENT FACILITIES: CORE-EDGE INTEGRATION: H-MODE AND DENSITY-LIMITS

- 9. While ITER Demonstration Discharges (with ITER-like β, ν^*) in present devices have transport losses appreciably above the H-mode power threshold, a reactor-scale device will operate close to the power threshold**
 - **Transport losses scale differently from power threshold**
 - **Will the full H-mode confinement be realized?**

- 10. ITER Demonstration Discharges have a density well below the Greenwald limit whereas a reactor will operate close the Greenwald density.**
 - **Density scaling at constant β, ν^* differs from Greenwald**

- 11. In general, an integrated demonstration of a reactor-scale core and edge physics is not possible in contemporary facilities.**

STEADY-STATE OPERATION

1. High - bootstrap fraction requires relatively high- q and a reverse-shear q-profile.

- **To achieve desired $\beta > 3\%$, plasmas must operate in the "wall-stabilized" regime where resistive wall modes arise.**
- **Resistive wall modes stabilized by rotation or feedback**
- **Presently, wall-stabilized plasmas exhibit a spontaneous spin-down that prevents rotation from providing the needed stabilization**

2. It follows that steady-state burning plasma experiments must have active n=1 feedback coils and a source of rotation drive to determine design requirements for a steady-state reactor.

- **NBI beam energy increases and driven rotation frequency decreases in a reactor-scale device.**

CONCLUSIONS

1. Reactor-scale burning plasma physics has features which are inaccessible to investigation in present facilities*.
 - The experimental physics of a reactor scale device will be original.
2. Design of a demonstration power reactor must rest on experimental demonstration in a burning plasma facility of its physics basis and operational modes.
3. To progress towards the fusion power goal, we must

"Burn to Learn"

*A more detailed account appears in Chapter 9, *ITER Physics Basis*